1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ERIC P. ISRAEL (State Bar No. 132426) eisrael@DanningGill.com GEORGE E. SCHULMAN (State Bar No. gschulman@DanningGill.com ZEV SHECHTMAN (State Bar No. 2662) zs@DanningGill.com DANNING, GILL, ISRAEL & KRASNO 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067-6006 Telephone: (310) 277-0077 Facsimile: (310) 277-5735  Attorneys for Michael A. McConnell, Chapter 11 Trustee  UNITED STATES B	p. 64572) 280)
10		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
11		RN DIVISION
12	In re	Case No. 9:19-bk-11573-MB
13	HVI CAT CANYON, INC.,	Chapter 11
14	Debtor.	TRUSTEE'S NOTICE OF OPPOSITION AND OPPOSITION
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>		TO MOTION FOR APPROVAL AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS
17 18		FILED BY GIT, INC., CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION, INC., AND GTL1, LLC [CASE DOC. NO. 946];
19		MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, REQUEST FOR
20		JUDICIAL NOTICE, AND DECLARATIONS OF MICHAEL A MCCONNELL, TIM SKILLMAN.
21		DECLARATIONS OF MICHAEL A MCCONNELL, TIM SKILLMAN, AND JAMES BARING IN SUPPORT THEREOF
22		Date: May 19, 2020
23		Time: 10:30 a.m. Crtrm.: 201
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		1415 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101
26		
27		
28		

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

2		<u>Pa</u>	age
3	MEMORA	NDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	6
4	I. INTROD	UCTION	6
5	II. STATEN	MENT OF FACTS	7
6	A.	The Bankruptcy Filing, Cash Collateral Orders, and Trustee Appointment	7
7	B.	The Debtor's Insiders and Affiliates	8
8	C.	The Avoidance Action Against GRL and GLR	. 10
9	D.	The Section 365 Declaratory Relief Action	.11
10	E.	GLR's Motion for Payment of Administrative Rent	. 11
11	F.	The Trustee's Factual Disputes Regarding the Insiders' Administrativ	
12		Claims Motion	
13		SSION	. 13
14	A.	The Dispute is Not Ripe for Adjudication and the Motion Should therefore be Denied without Prejudice	. 13
15	B.	The Dispute Should be Adjudicated, if at All, in an Adversary Proceeding	.15
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	C.	If Not Denied, the Motion Should be Treated as a Contested Matter wall Adversary Proceeding Rules Applied, or Consolidated with the Avoidance Action	ith
	D.	It is Premature to Argue the Merits	. 18
19	E.	The Insiders Have Not Met Their Burden	. 20
20	IV. CONCL	USION	. 22
21	REQUEST	FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE	. 23
22	DECLARA	TION OF MICHAEL A. MCCONNELL	. 26
23	DECLARA	TION OF TIM SKILLMAN	.32
24	DECLARA	TION OF JAMES BARING	. 34
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	Page(s)
3	Cases
4	Bear v. Coben (In re Golden Plan of Cal., Inc.) 829 F.2d 705 (9th Cir. 1986)16
5	Czyzewski v. Jevic Holding Corp 137 S. Ct. 973 (2017)14
7	General Electric Credit Corporation v. Levin & Weintraub (In re Flagstaff Foodservice Corp.) 739 F.2d 73 (2d Cir. 1984)
9	Golden v. Zwickler 394 U.S. 103, 89 S. Ct. 956, 22 L. Ed. 2d 113 (1969)15
<ul><li>10</li><li>11</li></ul>	In re AWTR Liquidation Inc. 548 B.R. 300 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2016)20
12	In re Hanna 168 B.R. 386 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1994)20
13	In re Khachikyan 335 B.R. 121 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2005)16
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	In re Loloee 241 B.R. 655 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1999)16
16	In re Pizza of Hawaii, Inc. 69 B.R. 60 (Bankr. D. Haw. 1986)
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	In re TransAmerican Nat. Gas Corp. 978 F.2d 1409 (5th Cir. 1992)
19	In re Van Ness 399 B.R. 897 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009)15
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	In re Visual Indus., Inc. 57 F.3d 321 (3d Cir. 1995)13, 14
22	<i>Malcolm v. Nat'l Gypsum Co.</i> 995 F.2d 346 (2d Cir. 1993)
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Pepper v. Litton 308 U.S. 295, 60 S. Ct. 238 (1939)20
25	Stoumbos v. Kilimnik   988 F.2d 949 (9th Cir. 1993)20
26	United States v. Armstrong 621 F.2d 951 (9th Cir. 1980)
27	
28	

1	Statutes
2	11 U.S.C. § 36319
3	11 U.S.C. § 364
4	11 U.S.C. § 364(c)(1)
	11 U.S.C. § 502
5	11 U.S.C. § 50314, 16, 20
6	11 U.S.C. § 503(b)14
7	11 U.S.C. § 51019
8	11 U.S.C. § 54210
9	11 U.S.C. § 547
	11 U.S.C. § 548
10	11 U.S.C. § 550
11	Other Authorities
12	Klein, Christopher, Bankruptcy Rules Made Easy (2001): A Guide to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that Apply in Bankruptcy, 75 AM. BANKR. L.J. 35, 38
13	(Winter 2001)
14	Rules
15	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f)
	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001
16	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001(1)
17	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001(9)
18	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001-708715
19	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 701316
20	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 704218
21	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 901415, 16, 17, 20
	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(c)
22	Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Michael A. McConnell, as Chapter 11 Trustee (the "Trustee") for the estate of
2	HVI Cat Canyon Inc. (the "Debtor") hereby opposes the Motion for Approval of
3	Payment of Administrative Claims (case doc. no. 946) (the "Insiders' Administrative
4	Claims Motion") filed by GIT, Inc. ("GIT"), California Asphalt Production, Inc.
5	("CAP"), and GTL1, LLC ("GTL1") (collectively the "Insiders").
6	The Insiders are and always have been, affiliates and insiders of the Debtor,
7	controlled by the Debtor's former principal, Randeep S. Grewal. The Insiders'
8	Administrative Claims Motion is premature because there is no money available to
9	pay their claims. Further, the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion should be
10	litigated in an adversary proceeding. If the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion
11	is not denied outright, the Court should continue the hearing, implement contested
12	matter procedures to treat the proceeding the same as an adversary proceeding, or
13	consolidate with a pending adversary proceeding, and set a status conference for a
14	time after the server documents are finally produced by GIT. Finally, the Insiders
15	have not met the high burden applicable to insider administrative claims.
16	The Trustee's opposition is based on this opposition, the memorandum of
17	points and authorities, declarations of Michael A. McConnell, Tim Skillman and
18	James Baring, the request for judicial notice, separately filed evidentiary objections,
19	and such other evidence as may be properly submitted to the Court.
20	Any reply is due not less than seven days before the hearing on the motion
21	pursuant to LBR 9013-1.
22	DATED: May 5, 2020 DANNING, GILL, ISRAEL &
23	KRASNOFF, LLP
24	D.,, (17, 61, 1)
25	By: /s/ Zev Shechtman GEORGE E. SCHULMAN
26	ZEV SHECHTMAN
27	Attorneys for Michael A. McConnell, Chapter 11 Trustee
28	Chapter II Trabee

### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

2

1

3

4

5

6 8

7

10

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

I.

The Insiders request allowance of administrative claims and payment of \$737,424 for GIT, \$2,240,686.86 for CAP, as \$979,717.20 for GTL1. That's about \$4 million the Insiders want not only approved but paid. The Insiders totally ignore the fact that they owe money to the estate. For example, the Trustee billed CAP \$1.8 million for delivered oil in October 2019, but CAP only paid the estate \$300,000. See McConnell declaration, ¶18. In addition, 20,393 barrels of oil were shipped to CAP in November 2019 that were never paid for at value estimated to be \$1,235,000. Id. Before resolving these Insider claims, let alone paying them, the Trustee needs to thoroughly investigate the Debtor's affairs. But GIT, one of the Insiders demanding payment, won't let the Trustee image the Debtor's own documents on the server GIT controls.

The Insiders are and always have been, affiliates and insiders of the Debtor, controlled by the Debtor's former principal, Randeep S. Grewal. The Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion is premature because there is no money available to pay their claims. In light of substantial disputes, the relief requested in the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion should be brought by adversary proceeding. If the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion is not denied outright, the Court should continue the hearing, require the claimants to commence an adversary proceeding, and allow the Trustee thorough and complete discovery, scheduling a status conference for sometime after the Trustee finally receives the server documents from GIT. In any such proceeding, if not already covered by a pending adversary, the Trustee will bring crossclaims and third party claims against these Insiders and the people responsible for the Debtor's dire financial position. Finally, the Insiders'

Administrative Claims Motion does not meet the high burden of proof applicable to administrative claims, and the even higher burden applicable to insider claims.

II.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

### A. The Bankruptcy Filing, Cash Collateral Orders, and Trustee

### **Appointment**

On July 25, 2019, the Debtor filed its voluntary Chapter 11 petition commencing this bankruptcy case. The case was originally filed in the Southern District of New York. The case was transferred to the Northern District of Texas, and then later to the Central District of California.

On August 14, 2019, the presiding court in this case (then in New York) entered an interim cash collateral order authorizing use of cash collateral pursuant to a budget. Case doc. no. 43. The order provided, among other terms:

The Cash Collateral Superpriority Claim shall have priority over all administrative expenses of any kind or any subsequently filed bankruptcy case under any Chapter of the Bankruptcy Code in any court of competent jurisdiction, including such administrative expenses of the kinds specified in, or allowable under, Sections 105, 326, 330, 331, 503(b), 506(c), 507(a), or 507(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Case doc. no. 43 at paragraph 6.

The Insiders supported the initial use of UBS's cash collateral. Case doc. no. 274. Now that the Insiders no longer control the Debtor-in-Possession, they apparently regret that choice.

On October 8, 2019, this Court entered its agreed order on consensual use of cash collateral. Case doc. no. 375. That order also expressly provided for superpriorty status for UBS, ahead of section 503(b) administrative claims. Case doc. no. 375, paragraph 6.

On October 16, 2019, the Court entered its order directing the United States Trustee to appoint a Chapter 11 trustee. Case doc. no. 409. The order approving the Trustee's appointment was entered on October 22, 2019. Case doc. no. 431.

On November 27, 2019, this Court entered its *Final Order for Emergency Priming and Superpriority Financing and Consensual Use of Cash Collateral by the Chapter 11 Trustee.* Case doc. no. 572. That order provided for superpriority over section 503(b) claims, the Trustee's expenditures pursuant to a budget, in addition to a carve out for the estate's professional fees. A copy of that order is attached to the Request for Judicial Notice as Exhibit "1." Thus, at least three orders have been entered giving UBS superpriority and allowing the Trustee to use cash collateral pursuant to an approved budget.

### B. The Debtor's Insiders and Affiliates

The order directing the appointment of a trustee (Case doc. no. 409) granted the motions of two creditors, who alleged a variety of problems with the Debtor including, but not limited to, the Debtor's transactions with affiliates and insiders. Case doc. nos. 356, 363.

According to the Debtor, all of the following entities are insiders and affiliates of the Debtor:

- a. California Asphalt Production, Inc., formerly known as Greka Refining Company, formerly known as Santa Maria Mining Company, a California corporation ("CAP"), operating in Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 296 (identifying CAP as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."
- b. GIT, Inc., a Colorado corporation ("GIT"), operating Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 295 (identifying GIT as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2." According to the Debtor, GIT is the 100% owner of GOGH, LLC, which is the 100% owner of the Debtor. See initial cash collateral motion, Case doc. no. 11, page 3, ¶9.

- c. GTL1, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company ("GTL1"), operating in Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 295 (identifying GTL1 as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."
- d. GLR, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("GLR"). Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 294, 296 (identifying GLR as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."
- e. GRL, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("GRL"). Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 294, 295 (identifying GRL as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."

CAP operates a refinery in Santa Maria, California. The Debtor had multiple written agreements with CAP until the Trustee rejected those agreements. Case doc. nos. 573, 613. Prior to rejection, the Debtor and then the Trustee delivered and sold crude oil and natural gas to the CAP refinery and third parties through CAP.

The Debtor had an agreement with GIT for GIT to provide administrative services to the Debtor, until the Trustee rejected that agreement. Case doc. nos. 658, 782. That included the Debtor storing its electronic documents on GIT's server, as to which GIT has, for the time being, blocked the Trustee's access to the server to copy those documents. A continued hearing on the Trustee's attempt to simply recover the Debtor's own records is set contemporaneously with the hearing on the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion.

The Debtor had an arrangement with GTL1 whereby GTL1 provided product transportation services to the Debtor, until the Trustee rejected the transportation agreement. Case doc. nos. 658, 782.

Randeep S. Grewal signed the Debtor's Statement of Financial Affairs identifying himself as Chairman of the Debtor. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 288. RJN Exhibit "2."

Prior to the Trustee's appointment, the Debtor and the Insiders shared management. Ernesto Olivares signed the declaration in support of the Insiders'

Administrative Claims Motion, as the CFO of GIT, CAP, and GTL1. Case doc. no. 946 at page 13. However, Mr. Olivares left out of his declaration that he was CFO of the Debtor prior to the Trustee's appointment. For example, Mr. Olivares was CFO of the Debtor when, six days before the Debtor's petition was filed, the Debtor transferred property to another company at which Mr. Olivares was also an officer. The Trustee has sued to unwind those transfers. Adversary proceeding number 9:20-ap-01006-MB (the Avoidance Action discussed below). All of the evidence provided by Mr. Olivares and the Insiders must be viewed as the products of

transactions that were not at arm's length, requiring heightened scrutiny.

### C. The Avoidance Action Against GRL and GLR

On January 9, 2020, the Trustee filed a complaint against GRL and GLR seeking turnover, and avoidance of preferential and/or fraudulent transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 542, 547, 548 and 550, commencing adversary proceeding number 9:20-ap-01006-MB (the "Avoidance Action"). By the Avoidance Action, the Trustee is seeking to recover property, including oil and gas, interests which the Debtor transferred to the defendants 6 days before the Debtor's bankruptcy filing.

On February 3, 2020, GRL and GLR filed an answer and counterclaim in the Avoidance Action seeking both payment of the royalties to GRL and payment on account of the Lakeview rents to GLR. The Status Conference in the Avoidance Action was held on April 17, 2020.

The Trustee is preparing to amend his complaint in the Avoidance Action, and the Trustee is also investigating additional claims and factual issues which may be raised in an amended complaint in the Avoidance Action. The defendants in that adversary refused to stipulate to let the Trustee amend.

### D. The Section 365 Declaratory Relief Action

On January 21, 2020, the Trustee filed a complaint for declaratory relief, commencing adversary proceeding number 9:20-ap-01011-MB (the "365 Action"). In the 365 Action, the Trustee is seeking a judgment determining that the Debtor's Oil and Gas Leases and the rights under them and are not the subjects of executory contracts or unexpired leases under section 365. GRL is one of over 400 named defendants.

### E. GLR's Motion for Payment of Administrative Rent

On February 14, 2020, GLR filed a motion for payment of administrative rent (case doc. no. 801), seeking payment from the estate of \$7,500 per month for the Debtor's rental of facilities at the Debtor's Lakeview property (one of the properties which the Debtor had quitclaimed to GLR six days before the bankruptcy filing). The motion sought payment of a total of \$52,500 for the months of August 2019 to February 2020.

The Trustee ultimately stipulated, together with UBS and the California State Lands Commission, on one hand, with GLR, on the other hand, to maintain \$52,500 in the Insider Escrow Deposit Account, on account of the alleged Lakeview rents (doc. no. 841), resulting in order dismissing of GLR's administrative rent request (doc. no. 851).

### F. The Trustee's Factual Disputes Regarding the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion

CAP owes the Debtor at least \$3,649,524.20 for postpetition crude oil sales from August to November, 2019. See McConnell declaration, ¶18; Skillman declaration, ¶7; Baring declaration, ¶7. Despite numerous attempts to obtain payment from CAP, the payments were never made. See McConnell declaration, ¶19; Skillman declaration, ¶8; Baring declaration, ¶7. Indeed Mr. Skillman

negotiated with Mr. Olivares the attached payment agreement dated November 19, 2019, which was never signed. See Exhibit "3" to Skillman declaration; Skillman declaration, ¶8.

Mr. Olivares has issued multiple versions of invoices from GIT and GTL1 to the Debtor. See Baring declaration, ¶8. When the Trustee received a new invoice, the amount due often increased. For example, compare GTL1's September 30, 2019 invoice attached to the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion with the version the Trustee has. Id. See also Exhibit "4" to Baring declaration. The new version attached to the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion at page 98 demands \$15,000 more than the earlier version. Id. Many of the invoices attached to the motion have different formatting than the versions previously received by the Trustee. Id.

The GIT invoices contain myriad unexplained, suspicious, charges for Travel, Professional Fees, Legal Fees, Taxes, Employee relations. See McConnell declaration, ¶21; Baring declaration, ¶10. All of these charges changed significantly from month to month. Id. See, e.g., GIT invoice at Exhibit "4," PDF page 12 of 18. Attached as Exhibit "5" to the James Baring declaration is an email Mr. Baring sent to Mr. Olivares dated November 15, 2019 requesting supporting documentation for line items in a \$242,987.21 GIT invoice that Mr. Olivares previously estimated at \$156,000. See McConnell declaration, ¶23; Baring declaration, ¶9.

Since the Trustee has taken over operations, the Trustee has re-sourced back office support for approximately \$120,000 less per month than the administrative services purportedly provided by GIT. See McConnell declaration, ¶23; Baring declaration, ¶11.

The Trustee is also investigating whether GTL1 was overcharging, given the close proximity of the HVI and CAP facilities. See McConnell declaration, ¶24; Baring declaration, ¶12.

The CAP invoices for hot loads and temperature fees were not presented to the Trustee in until the Trustee decided to reject the contracts with the Insiders. See McConnell declaration, ¶25; Baring declaration, ¶13.

The Debtor's and Insiders' record keeping was so weak that there were no controls in place to confirm whether services were in fact provided. See McConnell declaration, ¶26; Baring declaration, ¶14. More investigation into the billing practices of the Insiders is necessary. See McConnell declaration, ¶27; Baring declaration, ¶15.

#### III.

### **DISCUSSION**

## A. The Dispute is Not Ripe for Adjudication and the Motion Should therefore be Denied without Prejudice

The Insiders are asking for allowance and payment of administrative expenses even though the estate has no money to pay such claims. The only reason this estate has funds to pay to keep the lights on is because the Debtor's secured creditor, UBS, has given the Trustee a budget to fund operations.

Litigating over the allowance and payment of the Insiders' claim now is a waste of estate and judicial resources, and the request should be denied without prejudice to being considered by this Court if, at a later date, circumstances drastically change, making payment of non-budgeted administrative claims possible.

11 U.S.C. § 364(c)(1) authorizes the trustee to obtain "superpriority" credit, that is, "with priority over any or all administrative expenses of the kind specified in section 503(b) or 507(b)" of the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § 364(c)(1).

Requests for administrative expense under section 503 are not charged against secured collateral. *In re Visual Indus.*, Inc., 57 F.3d 321, 324 (3d Cir. 1995) ("The general rule is that post-petition administrative expenses and the general costs of reorganization ordinarily may not be charged to or against secured collateral") (citing

General Electric Credit Corporation v. Levin & Weintraub (In re Flagstaff Foodservice Corp.), 739 F.2d 73, 76 (2d Cir. 1984)). Section 503 claims are "normally chargeable only against the unburdened assets of the estate, 11 U.S.C. § 503, thus preserving for secured creditors the collateral securing the debtor's obligations." Visual Indus., Inc., 57 F.3d at 324. The courts do not have discretion to vary from the priorities of the Bankruptcy Code over an affected party's objection. See Czyzewski v. Jevic Holding Corp., 137 S. Ct. 973, 987 (2017). Thus, absent available funds, it is impermissible to award payment of administrative expenses.

Here, the Court's cash collateral order (Case doc. no. 572) expressly gives

Here, the Court's cash collateral order (Case doc. no. 572) expressly gives UBS superpriority over administrative expense claims under section 503(b). UBS has a secured claim of over \$127,000,000 as of the petition date plus at least \$9,088,210, excluding accrued interest, incurred postpetition pursuant to a credit agreement and six amendments to the credit agreement. See, e.g., Proof of Claim No. 83. The Trustee currently has no free and clear cash with which to cover operational expenses, let alone make distributions to lower priority claimants. McConnell declaration at ¶10.

The Trustee is looking for a buyer for the assets of the Debtor. The Trustee is looking for a responsible, competent buyer who will comply with applicable law and pay lessors and royalty claimants what they are owed going forward. The Court previously found that the value of the company is between \$50 and \$75 million. Clearly, UBS is undersecured. The current economic and market limitations are a further drag on the value of the company. It is unclear at this time whether there will be funds for unsecured creditors at the end of this case.

Thus, the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion is an academic exercise at best. Indeed, it appears that this motion can be characterized as a request for an advisory opinion, which is impermissible in federal courts. As the Supreme Court has opined:

"[T]he federal courts established pursuant to Article III of the Constitution do not render advisory opinions. For adjudication of constitutional issues, `concrete legal issues, presented in actual cases, not abstractions,' are requisite. This is as true of declaratory judgments as any other field." *United Public Workers of America v. Mitchell*, 330 U. S. 75, 89 (1947). "The difference between an abstract question and a `controversy' contemplated by the Declaratory Judgment Act is necessarily one of degree, and it would be difficult, if it would be possible, to fashion a precise test for determining in every case whether there is such a controversy. Basically, the question in each case is whether the facts alleged, under all the circumstances, show that there is a substantial controversy, between parties having adverse legal interests, of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment." *Maryland Casualty Co. v. Pacific Coal & Oil Co.*, 312 U. S. 270, 273 (1941).

Golden v. Zwickler, 394 U.S. 103, 108, 89 S. Ct. 956, 959, 22 L. Ed. 2d 113 (1969). For this reason, the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion should be denied.

### B. The Dispute Should be Adjudicated, if at All, in an Adversary Proceeding

Proceedings to "recover money or property" from a bankruptcy estate or "to obtain an injunction or other equitable relief" are adversary proceedings under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("FRBP") 7001. *See* FRBP 7001(1) and (9). Adversary proceedings are lawsuits in bankruptcy, and are subject to the notice, discovery and other procedural due process attributes of a federal civil action, set forth in the Bankruptcy Rules. See FRBP 7001-7087. As one court has observed: "An adversary proceeding under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001 is essentially indistinguishable from a civil action under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." *In re Van Ness*, 399 B.R. 897, 904 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009) (citing Christopher Klein, Bankruptcy Rules Made Easy (2001): A Guide to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that Apply in Bankruptcy, 75 AM. BANKR. L.J. 35, 38 (Winter 2001)).

In contrast, requests for payment of administrative expenses are allowed after "notice and a hearing," giving rise to "contested matters" governed by FRBP 9014.

[A] contested matter under [FRBP] 9014 is a motion procedure susceptible of more expeditious resolution than an adversary

proceeding. In particular, the pleading rules that entail complaint, answer, counterclaim, crossclaim, and third-party practice are dispensed with in favor of a simple motion procedure.

*Id.* (internal citations omitted). Contested matters are summary proceedings encompassing limited issues. As one court has noted:

Unlike adversary proceedings, which we have described as "full blown federal lawsuits within the larger bankruptcy case," and which are governed by all of the rules in Part VII of the Bankruptcy Rules, contested matters are "subject to the less elaborate procedures specified in Bankruptcy Rule 9014." In re Wood & Locker, Inc., 868 F.2d 139, 142 (5th Cir. 1989). Contested matter proceedings are generally designed for the adjudication of simple issues, often on an expedited basis. 9 Collier on Bankruptcy, ¶ 9014.05 (15th ed. 1992).

*In re TransAmerican Nat. Gas Corp.*, 978 F.2d 1409, 1416 (5th Cir. 1992) (addressing contested matters involving administrative expense requests under section 503).

Further, "[a] motion procedure cannot be used to circumvent the requirement of an adversary proceeding." *In re Loloee*, 241 B.R. 655, 660 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1999) (citing *Bear v. Coben (In re Golden Plan of Cal., Inc.*), 829 F.2d 705, 711–12 (9th Cir. 1986)).

To the extent that the Movants are entitled to any claim, the estate has setoff rights and other defenses and counterclaims creating disputes which are also only appropriately litigated in the context of an adversary proceeding. *See* FRBP 9014(c) (excluding FRBP 7013, encompassing counterclaims and cross-claims, from contested matters); *In re Khachikyan*, 335 B.R. 121, 125 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2005) ("In a contested matter, there is no summons and complaint, pleading rules are relaxed, counterclaims and third-party practice do not apply, and much pre-trial procedure is either foreshortened or dispensed with in the interest of time and simplicity."). Indeed, the Trustee is pursuing claims against insiders and affiliates of the Debtor, GLR and GRL, in two pending actions. The Trustee's affirmative defenses for setoff

in the Avoidance Action arise from the failure of CAP to pay at least \$3,649,524.20 for postpetition crude oil shipments.

The Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion should be denied without prejudice to litigation in an adversary proceeding.

# C. If Not Denied, the Motion Should be Treated as a Contested Matter with all Adversary Proceeding Rules Applied, or Consolidated with the Avoidance Action

If the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion is not denied outright, adversary proceeding procedures should be implemented under Rule 9014 or the proceeding should be consolidated with the Avoidance Action.

Rule 9014(c) provides:

Application of Part VII Rules. Except as otherwise provided in this rule, and unless the court directs otherwise, the following rules shall apply: 7009, 7017, 7021, 7025, 7026, 7028–7037, 7041, 7042, 7052, 7054–7056, 7064, 7069, and 7071. The following subdivisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, as incorporated by Rule 7026, shall not apply in a contested matter unless the court directs otherwise: 26(a)(1) (mandatory disclosure), 26(a)(2) (disclosures regarding expert testimony) and 26(a)(3) (additional pre-trial disclosure), and 26(f) (mandatory meeting before scheduling conference/discovery plan). An entity that desires to perpetuate testimony may proceed in the same manner as provided in Rule 7027 for the taking of a deposition before an adversary proceeding. The court may at any stage in a particular matter direct that one or more of the other rules in Part VII shall apply. The court shall give the parties notice of any order issued under this paragraph to afford them a reasonable opportunity to comply with the procedures prescribed by the order.

Given the range of disputes and relationships with other issues subject to litigation in an adversary proceeding, the Trustee requests that the full range of civil litigation rules apply here, and that a status conference be scheduled once GIT delivers the Debtor's discovery documents.

Additionally, given the overlap of issues, if the Court is not prepared to deny the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion outright, it may be most efficient to consolidate this contested matter with the Avoidance Action. FRCP 42(a), made

applicable by 7042, and which could be implemented in contested matters by Court order under FRBP 9014(c), provides as follows:

- (a) Consolidation. If actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may:(1) join for hearing or trial any or all matters at issue in the
- actions:
  - (2) consolidate the actions; or
  - (3) issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay.

FRCP 42(a). If the Court does not dismiss the Motion, the Court should consolidate this contested matter with the Avoidance Action or, at the very least, put it on the same litigation schedule. Such determinations are within the Court's sound discretion. United States v. Armstrong, 621 F.2d 951, 954 (9th Cir. 1980) (regarding joinder); Malcolm v. Nat'l Gypsum Co., 995 F.2d 346, 350 (2d Cir. 1993) ("The trial court has broad discretion to determine whether consolidation is appropriate.") (internal citations omitted).

#### D. It is Premature to Argue the Merits

In a civil action, including adversary proceedings, the defendant is afforded an opportunity to answer, take discovery to develop the case, among several other procedural steps before trial. The Trustee denies the Insiders' allegations and, to the extent the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion is not denied outright now, this opposition should be treated like an answer.

The Trustee requires time to develop the record, an opportunity that is unavailable if the decision on the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion is to be made on May 19, 2020. In further litigation, the Trustee intends to develop the following defenses and counterarguments:

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

20 21

19

22 23

25

24

26

27

28

- 1) The Insiders are not entitled to administrative priority because their purported extension of credit was not approved by the Court. In re Pizza of Hawaii, Inc., 69 B.R. 60, 61 (Bankr. D. Haw. 1986).<sup>1</sup>
- 2) The Insiders are not entitled to administrative priority because their conduct was, on a net basis, not beneficial to the estate. That is, the Insiders, collectively, owe more to the Debtor than the Debtor owes to them. The Debtor has setoff claims against the Insiders.
- 3) The Insiders are not entitled to payment pro rata with approved administrative expenses, because the approved administrative expenses were budgeted and expressly authorized by the Court's cash collateral orders. The Trustee and his professionals should not be penalized for administering a case fraught with insider entanglements and commingling by the Debtor and the Insiders.<sup>2</sup>
- 4) Any funds available to the Trustee are cash collateral of UBS and the Trustee is not authorized to pay the Insiders with such funds.<sup>3</sup>
- 5) The estate may have substantial other claims against the Insiders, beyond offsets.

To develop this case, the Trustee intends to depose at least two individuals: Ernesto Olivares and Randeep S. Grewal, depose the Persons Most Knowledgeable of each of the Insiders, and propound written discovery. The Trustee still has not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Despite the Insiders arguments, the Court did not approve prepayment by CAP as a form of credit under section 364. See Case doc. no. 449 at page 2, lines 15-16 (stating that the prepayment should be characterized as "use of estate property governed by 11 U.S.C. § 363 and not a credit transaction governed by 11 U.S.C. § 364.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The pending fight over the Debtor's documents on the Insiders' server is an illustration of the difficulties created by the entanglement of these entities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indeed, GIT and CAP executed a subordination agreement, agreeing to subordinate their claims to those of UBS. Such subordination agreement is enforceable under 11 U.S.C. § 510.

obtained the Debtor's own documents, which are necessary to move to the next phase of his investigation. The facts provided in this opposition should be enough to overcome summary adjudication, allowing the Trustee to move forward and make his case with the full panoply of procedural due process, whether pursuant to FRBP 7001 or 9014.

### E. The Insiders Have Not Met Their Burden

Requests for administrative claims have a higher burden of proof than ordinary claims. While a proof of claim under section 502 enjoys prima facie validity under FRBP 3001(f), an administrative claimant under section 503 must prove its claim by a preponderance of the evidence. *In re Hanna*, 168 B.R. 386, 388 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1994) ("We construe § 503(b)(1)(A) strictly.").

Insider administrative claims require an even higher level of scrutiny. Indeed, courts employ "rigorous scrutiny" when evaluating insider claims. *Pepper v. Litton*, 308 U.S. 295, 306, 60 S. Ct. 238, 245 (1939); *In re Club Dev. & Mgmt. Corp.*, 27 B.R. 610, 612 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982) ("The standards for authorizing claims against a bankruptcy estate are especially strict when the claimant is a fiduciary."). As the Supreme Court has opined, when an insider's transaction with the debtor

is challenged the burden is on the [insider] not only to prove the good faith of the transaction but also to show its inherent fairness from the viewpoint of the corporation and those interested therein. [] The essence of the test is whether or not under all the circumstances the transaction carries the earmarks of an arm's length bargain. If it does not, equity will set it aside.

Pepper, 308 U.S. at 306–07; see also Stoumbos v. Kilimnik, 988 F.2d 949, 959 (9th Cir. 1993) (citing Pepper); In re AWTR Liquidation Inc., 548 B.R. 300, 318 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2016) (citing Pepper).

Among other deficiencies in the evidence supplied by the Insiders, there is not a scintilla of evidence regarding the prepetition transactions between the Debtor and the Insiders. The invoices attached to the Olivares declaration are all postpetition

invoices, so there is no way to compare prepetition versus postpetition practices as the motion and declaration suggest that we do. A comparison of the postpetition invoices that the Trustee received from the Insiders to the postpetition invoices attached to the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion shows discrepancies and changes in formatting suggesting accounting irregularities. Moreover, the Trustee has not been able to confirm that the charges are correct or fair. And, again, the Trustee's setoffs against the Insiders exceed their legitimate claims.

As to the heightened scrutiny for insider claims, the Insiders do not even begin to address this issue. Indeed, they attempt to hide their status. Mr. Olivares completely ignores the fact that he was the CFO of the Debtor at the same time that he was CFO of the Insiders, pre and postpetition. It is vital to understand the accounting system that existed for these jointly operated and managed entities both pre and postpetition. Instead, the declarant pretends as if these transactions are third party, arm's length arrangements, eliminating any basis for credibility. Separate evidentiary objections will be filed. But, even if the evidence were accepted at face value, the Insiders have not met their steep burden.

IV. 1 **CONCLUSION** 2 3 Based on the foregoing, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an order denying the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion and enter all other just 4 and proper relief. 5 6 7 DATED: May 5, 2020 DANNING, GILL, ISRAEL & KRASNOFF, LLP 8 9 10 By: /s/ Zev Shechtman GEORGE E. SCHULMAN 11 **ZEV SHECHTMAN** 12 Attorneys for Michael A. McConnell, 13 Chapter 11 Trustee 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

### REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Michael A. McConnell, as Chapter 11 Trustee (the "Trustee") for the estate of HVI Cat Canyon Inc. (the "Debtor") hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following facts pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 201:

- 1. On July 25, 2019, the Debtor filed its voluntary Chapter 11 petition commencing this bankruptcy case. The case was originally filed in the Southern District of New York. The case was transferred to the Northern District of Texas, and then later to the Central District of California.
- 2. On August 14, 2019, the presiding court in this case (then in New York) entered an interim cash collateral order authorizing use of cash collateral pursuant to a budget. Case doc. no. 43. The Insiders supported the initial use of UBS's cash collateral. Case doc. no. 274.
- 3. On October 8, 2019, this Court entered its agreed order on consensual use of cash collateral. Case doc. no. 375.
- 4. On October 16, 2019, the Court entered its order directing the United States Trustee to appoint a Chapter 11 trustee. Case doc. no. 409. The order approving the Trustee's appointment was entered on October 22, 2019. Case doc. no. 431.
- 5. On November 27, 2019, this Court entered its Final Order for Emergency Priming and Superpriority Financing and Consensual Use of Cash Collateral by the Chapter 11 Trustee. Case doc. no. 572. A copy of that order is attached hereto as Exhibit "1."
- 6. The order directing the appointment of a trustee (Case doc. no. 409) granted the motions of two creditors. Case doc. nos. 356, 363.
- 7. According to the Debtor, all of the following entities are insiders and affiliates of the Debtor:
- a. California Asphalt Production, Inc., formerly known as Greka Refining Company, formerly known as Santa Maria Mining Company, a California

corporation ("CAP"), operating in Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 296 (identifying CAP as an insider and affiliate). Exhibit "2."

- b. GIT, Inc., a Colorado corporation ("GIT"), operating Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 295 (identifying GIT as an insider and affiliate). Exhibit "2." According to the Debtor, GIT is the 100% owner of GOGH, LLC, which is the 100% owner of the Debtor. See initial cash collateral motion, Case doc. no. 11, page 3, ¶9.
- c. GTL1, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company ("GTL1"), operating in Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 295 (identifying GTL1 as an insider and affiliate). Exhibit "2."
- d. GLR, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("GLR"). Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 294, 296 (identifying GLR as an insider and affiliate). Exhibit "2."
- e. GRL, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("GRL"). Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 294, 295 (identifying GRL as an insider and affiliate). Exhibit "2."
- 8. Randeep S. Grewal signed the Debtor's Statement of Financial Affairs identifying himself as Chairman of the Debtor. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 288. Exhibit "2."
- 9. On January 9, 2020, the Trustee filed a complaint against GRL and GLR seeking turnover, and avoidance of preferential and/or fraudulent transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 542, 547, 548 and 550, commencing adversary proceeding number 9:20-ap-01006-MB (the "Avoidance Action").
- 10. On February 3, 2020, GRL and GLR filed an answer and counterclaim in the Avoidance Action seeking both payment of the royalties to GRL and payment on account of the Lakeview rents to GLR. The Status Conference in the Avoidance Action was held on April 17, 2020.

- 1	
1	11. On January 21, 2020, the Trustee filed a complaint for declaratory relief
2	commencing adversary proceeding number 9:20-ap-01011-MB (the "365 Action").
3	12. On February 14, 2020, GLR filed a motion for payment of
4	administrative rent (case doc. no. 801).
5	13. The Trustee ultimately stipulated, together with UBS and the California
6	State Lands Commission, on one hand, with GLR, on the other hand, to maintain
7	\$52,500 in the Insider Escrow Deposit Account, on account of the alleged Lakeview
8	rents (doc. no. 841), resulting in order dismissing of GLR's administrative rent
9	request (doc. no. 851).
10	14. UBS has filed a secured claim in the amount of \$128,378,918.00, as
11	Proof of Claim No. 83.
12	
13	DATED: May 5, 2020 DANNING, GILL, ISRAEL &
14	KRASNOFF, LLP
15	
16	By: /s/ Zev Shechtman
17	GEORGE E. SCHULMAN ZEV SHECHTMAN
18	Attorneys for Michael A. McConnell,
19	Chapter 11 Trustee
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

### **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL A. MCCONNELL**

- I, Michael A. McConnell, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Chapter 11 trustee ("Trustee") of HVI Cat Canyon, Inc. ("Debtor"). I was appointed as Trustee by order of this Court (doc. no. 431) entered on October 22, 2019.
- 2. I have personal knowledge of each of the facts herein, except those set forth on information and belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently with respect to such facts.
- 3. I make this declaration in support of the above opposition to the *Motion* for Approval of Payment of Administrative Claims (case doc. no. 946) (the "Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion") filed by GIT, Inc. ("GIT"), California Asphalt Production, Inc. ("CAP"), and GTL1, LLC ("GTL1") (collectively the "Insiders").

### The Bankruptcy Filing, Cash Collateral Orders, and Trustee Appointment

- 4. On July 25, 2019, the Debtor filed its voluntary Chapter 11 petition commencing this bankruptcy case. The case was originally filed in the Southern District of New York. The case was transferred to the Northern District of Texas,
- 5. On August 14, 2019, the presiding court in this case (then in New York) entered an interim cash collateral order authorizing use of cash collateral pursuant to a budget. Case doc. no. 43. The order provided, among other terms:

The Cash Collateral Superpriority Claim shall have priority over all administrative expenses of any kind or any subsequently filed bankruptcy case under any Chapter of the Bankruptcy Code in any court of competent jurisdiction, including such administrative expenses of the kinds specified in, or allowable under, Sections 105, 326, 330, 331, 503(b), 506(c), 507(a), or 507(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Case doc. no. 43 at paragraph 6.

1588870.1 26932 26

and then later to the Central District of California.

- 6. The Insiders supported the initial use of UBS's cash collateral. Case doc. no. 274.
- 7. On October 8, 2019, this Court entered its agreed order on consensual use of cash collateral. Case doc. no. 375. That order also expressly provided for superpriorty status for UBS, ahead of section 503(b) administrative claims. Case doc. no. 375, paragraph 6.
- 8. On October 16, 2019, the Court entered its order directing the United States Trustee to appoint a Chapter 11 trustee. Case doc. no. 409. The order approving my appointment was entered on October 22, 2019. Case doc. no. 431.
- 9. On November 27, 2019, this Court entered its Final Order for Emergency Priming and Superpriority Financing and Consensual Use of Cash Collateral by the Chapter 11 Trustee. Case doc. no. 572. That order provided for superpriority over section 503(b) claims, my estate's expenditures pursuant to a budget, in addition to a carve out for the estate's professional fees. A copy of that order is attached to the Request for Judicial Notice as Exhibit "1." Thus, at least three orders have been entered giving UBS superpriority and allowing my estate to use cash collateral pursuant to an approved budget.
- 10. UBS has a secured claim of over \$127,000,000 as of the petition date plus at least \$9,088,210, excluding accrued interest, incurred postpetition pursuant to a credit agreement and six amendments to the credit agreement. See, e.g., Case doc. no. 11; Proof of Claim No. 83. The estate currently has no free and clear cash with which to cover operational expenses, let alone make distributions to lower priority claimants.

### The Debtor's Insiders and Affiliates

11. The order directing the appointment of a trustee (Case doc. no. 409) granted the motions of two creditors, who alleged a variety of problems with the

- 12. According to the Debtor, all of the following entities are insiders and affiliates of the Debtor:
- a. California Asphalt Production, Inc., formerly known as Greka Refining Company, formerly known as Santa Maria Mining Company, a California corporation ("CAP"), operating in Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 296 (identifying CAP as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."
- b. GIT, Inc., a Colorado corporation ("GIT"), operating Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 295 (identifying GIT as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2." According to the Debtor, GIT is the 100% owner of GOGH, LLC, which is the 100% owner of the Debtor. See initial cash collateral motion, Case doc. no. 11, page 3, ¶9.
- c. GTL1, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company ("GTL1"), operating in Santa Barbara County, California. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 295 (identifying GTL1 as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."
- d. GLR, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("GLR"). Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 294, 296 (identifying GLR as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."
- e. GRL, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("GRL"). Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 294, 295 (identifying GRL as an insider and affiliate). RJN Exhibit "2."
- 13. CAP operates a refinery in Santa Maria, California. The Debtor had multiple written agreements with CAP until I rejected those agreements. Case doc. nos. 573, 613. Prior to rejection, the Debtor, and then I, as Trustee, delivered and sold crude oil and natural gas to the CAP refinery and third parties through CAP.
- 14. The Debtor had an agreement with GIT, until I rejected that agreement. Case doc. nos. 658, 782. That included the Debtor storing its electronic documents

on GIT's server, as to which GIT has, for the time being, blocked my access to the server to copy those documents.

- 15. The Debtor had an arrangement with GTL1 whereby GTL1 transported the Debtor's crude oil, until I rejected that agreement. Case doc. nos. 658, 782.
- 16. Randeep S. Grewal signed the Debtor's Statement of Financial Affairs identifying himself as Chairman of the Debtor. Case doc. no. 171, ECF p. 288. RJN Exhibit "2."
- 17. Prior to my appointment, the Debtor and the Insiders shared management. Ernesto Olivares signed the declaration in support of the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion, as the CFO of GIT, CAP, and GTL1. Case doc. no. 946 at page 13. However, Mr. Olivares left out of his declaration that he was CFO of the Debtor prior to my appointment. For example, Mr. Olivares was CFO of the Debtor when, six days before the Debtor's petition was filed, the Debtor transferred property to two entities, GLR and GRL. I have sued to unwind those transfers. Adversary proceeding number 9:20-ap-01006-MB (the Avoidance Action discussed below).

### Factual Disputes Regarding the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion

- 18. CAP owes the Debtor at least \$3,649,524.20 for postpetition crude oil sales from August to November, 2019. Among other amounts owed to the estate, I billed CAP \$1.8 million for delivered oil in October 2019, but CAP only paid the estate \$300,000. In addition, 20,393 barrels of oil were shipped to CAP in November 2019 that were never paid for, at a value estimated to be \$1,235,000.
- 19. Despite numerous attempts to obtain payment from CAP, the payments were never made. Indeed my Financial Advisor and Chief Operating Officer, Tim Skillman negotiated with Mr. Olivares the attached payment agreement dated November 19, 2019, which was never signed. See Exhibit "3" to declaration of Tim Skillman.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Mr. Olivares has issued multiple versions of invoices from GIT and GTL1 to the Debtor, for their purported services to the Debtor. When I received a new invoice, the amount due often increased. For example, compare GTL1's September 30, 2019 invoice attached to the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion with the version I have. See Exhibit "4" to James Baring declaration. The new version attached to the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion at page 98 demands \$15,000 more than the earlier version. Many of the invoices attached to the motion have different formatting than the versions previously received by me, as the Trustee.
- 21. The GIT invoices contain myriad unexplained, suspicious, charges for Travel, Professional Fees, Legal Fees, Taxes, Employee relations. All of these charges changed significantly from month to month and supporting documentation was not produced.
- 22. The GIT invoices varied significantly from month to month and contain charges for services that GIT did not provide to HVI such as travel expenses, Professional Fees, Legal Fees, Taxes, and Employee relations. All of these charges changed significantly from month to month. See, e.g., GIT invoice at Exhibit "4," PDF page 12 of 18. Attached as Exhibit "5" to the Declaration of James Baring is an email Mr. Baring sent to Mr. Olivares dated November 15, 2019 requesting supporting documentation for line items in a \$242,987.21 GIT that Mr. Olivares previously estimated at \$156,000.
- 23. Since I have taken over operations, we have re-sourced back office support for approximately \$120,000 less per month than charged by GIT.
- 24. We also are investigating whether GTL1 was overcharging, given the close proximity of the HVI and CAP facilities.
- 25. The CAP invoices for hot loads and temperature fees were not shared with the estate until I decided to reject the contracts with the Insiders.
- 26. The Debtor's and Insiders' record keeping was so weak that there were no controls in place to confirm whether the services were in fact provided.

Ca	se 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 117
1	27. We believe that further investigation into the billing practices of the
2	Insiders is needed.
3	moracio io necaca.
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
5	America that the foregoing is true and correct.
6	Executed on this 5th day of May, 2020, at Fort Worth, Texas.
7	
8	Michael A. McConnell
9	( Whender A. Type Common
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

### **DECLARATION OF TIM SKILLMAN**

I, Tim Skillman, declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am a partner at CR3 Partners, LLC ("CR3"). CR3 is a national turnaround and performance improvement firm. CR3 offers advisory services and our professionals often serve as officers to assist clients in need of crisis management and other turnaround services. I have served as Chief Restructuring Officer to two companies, and as a C-level executive for other companies in need of someone to help navigate crises in and out of bankruptcy.
- 2. Since the Trustee's appointment I have been working as, or in a capacity similar to, a Chief Operating Officer for Michael A. McConnell, the Chapter 11 Trustee (the "Trustee") for the estate of HVI Cat Canyon, Inc. (the "Debtor").
- 3. I have personal knowledge of each of the facts herein, except those set forth on information and belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently with respect to such facts.
- 4. I make this declaration in support of the above opposition to the *Motion* for Approval of Payment of Administrative Claims (case doc. no. 946) (the "Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion") filed by GIT, Inc. ("GIT"), California Asphalt Production, Inc. ("CAP"), and GTL1, LLC ("GTL1") (collectively the "Insiders").
- 5. I have been working continuously on this case since my firm was engaged on October 21, 2019. For most of that period, I have been working at the Debtor's Santa Maria Valley offices.
- 6. As the financial advisor, I have been reviewing the Debtor's books and records and have been dealing with the overall business and strategic affairs of the Debtor, together with James Baring, also of CR3, the Trustee, the Trustee's other professionals, and the employees of the Debtor.
- 7. CAP owes the Debtor at least \$3,649,524.20 for postpetition crude oil sales from August to November, 2019.

1	8. Despite numerous attempts to obtain payment from CAP, the payments
2	were never made. Indeed I negotiated with Mr. Olivares a payment agreement dated
3	November 19, 2019, which was never signed. Attached as Exhibit "3" hereto is a
4	copy of an email from me to Mr. Olivares dated November 19, 2019, attached to
5	which was the proposed settlement as well as another email from HVI's counsel to
6	the Insiders' counsel describing our concerns regarding the Insiders' improper
7	setoffs. The Excel chart that was attached to Mr. Israel's November 19, 2019 email
8	to Insiders' counsel is also attached.
9	
10	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
11	America that the foregoing is true and correct.
12	Executed on this 5th day of May, 2020, at Santa Maria, California.
13	

<u>/s/ Tim Skillman</u> Tim Skillman

1588870.1 26932 33

**DECLARATION OF JAMES BARING** 

I, James Baring, declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am a director at CR3 Partners, the restructuring/financial advisors, duly employed by Michael A. McConnell, as Chapter 11 trustee ("Trustee") of HVI Cat Canyon, Inc. ("Debtor").
- 2. I am a Certified Turnaround Professional (CTP), and have over ten years' experience as a financial advisor and turnaround consultant in bankruptcy and other insolvency matters.
- 3. I have personal knowledge of each of the facts herein, except those set forth on information and belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently with respect to such facts.
- 4. I make this declaration in support of the above opposition to the Motion for Approval of Payment of Administrative Claims (case doc. no. 946) (the "Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion") filed by GIT, Inc. ("GIT"), California Asphalt Production, Inc. ("CAP"), and GTL1, LLC ("GTL1") (collectively the "Insiders").
- 5. I have been working continuously on this case since my firm was engaged on October 21, 2019. For most of that period, I have been working at the Debtor's Santa Maria Valley offices.
- 6. As the financial advisor, I have been reviewing the Debtor's books and records and have been dealing with the overall business and strategic affairs of the Debtor, together with Tim Skillman, also of CR3, the Trustee, the Trustee's other professionals, and the employees of the Debtor.
- 7. CAP owes the Debtor at least \$3,649,524.20 for postpetition crude oil sales from August to November, 2019. Despite numerous attempts to obtain payment from CAP, the payments were never made. Indeed Mr. Skillman negotiated with Mr. Olivares a payment agreement dated November 19, 2019, which was never signed. Attached as Exhibit "3" to the declaration of Tim Skillman is a copy of an email

from Mr. Skillman to Mr. Olivares dated November 19, 2019, attached to which was the proposed settlement as well as another email from HVI's counsel to the Insiders' counsel describing our concerns regarding the Insiders' improper setoffs. The Excel chart that was attached to Mr. Israel's November 19, 2019 email to Insiders' counsel is also attached.

- 8. Mr. Olivares has issued multiple versions of invoices from GIT and GTL1 to the Debtor. When I received and reviewed a new invoice, the amount due often increased. For example, compare GTL1's September 30, 2019 invoice attached to the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion with the version I have. See Exhibit "4" hereto, July-September 2019 Affiliate Invoices, at PDF page 15 of 18. The new version attached to the Insiders' Administrative Claims Motion at ECF page 98 demands \$15,000 more than the earlier version. Many of the invoices attached to the motion have different formatting than the versions we previously received.
- 9. The GIT invoices varied significantly from month to month and contain charges for services that GIT did not provide to HVI such as travel expenses, Professional Fees, Legal Fees, Taxes, and Employee relations. All of these charges changed significantly from month to month. See, e.g., GIT invoice at Exhibit "4," PDF page 12 of 18. Attached as Exhibit "5" hereto is an email I sent to Mr. Olivares dated November 15, 2019 requesting supporting documentation for line items in a \$242,987.21 GIT invoice that Mr. Olivares previously estimated at \$156,000.
- 10. The GIT invoices contain myriad unexplained, suspicious, charges for Travel, Professional Fees, Legal Fees, Taxes, Employee relations. All of these charges changed significantly from month to month and supporting documentation was not produced.
- 11. Since the Trustee has taken over operations, we have re-sourced back office support for approximately \$120,000 less per month than GIT.

- 12. We also are investigating whether GTL1 was overcharging, given the close proximity of the HVI and CAP facilities.
- 13. The CAP invoices for hot loads and temperature fees were not shared with the estate until the Trustee decided to reject the contracts with the Insiders.
- 14. The Debtor's and Insiders' record keeping was so weak that there were no controls in place to confirm whether the services were in fact provided.
- 15. We believe that further investigation into the billing practices of the Insiders is needed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2020, at Santa Maria, California.

James Baring

1588773.2 26932 36

EXHIBIT "1"

## Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 970 Filed 05/05/29 Entered 05/05/29 14:20:26 Desc

This Final Order for Emergency Priming and Superpriority Financing and Consensual Use of Cash Collateral by the Chapter 11 Trustee (this "Final Order") is entered as of November [21], 2019, with respect of the following facts:

On October 4, 2019 the Court denied the *Debtor's Motion for Interim and Final Orders Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 361, 362 and 363 Approving Use of Cash Collateral, Providing Adequate Protection and Setting Final Hearing Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001*[ECF No. 11] (the "Cash Collateral Motion") and the *Motion of the Debtor to Surcharge Collateral Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(c) and 552(b)* [ECF No. 55] (the "Surcharge Motion").

On October 8, 2019, the Court entered an *Agreed Order for Consensual Use of Cash Collateral* [ECF No. 375] (the "Consensual Cash Collateral Order"), whereby UBS AG, London Branch ("UBS AG, London Branch"), the debtor and debtor in possession (the "Debtor"), the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee"), and GIT, Inc. ("GIT"), in anticipation of a hearing on motions seeking appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee, agreed to use of cash collateral (as that phrase is defined in Section 363(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, "Cash Collateral") for an interim period ending October 25, 2019. On October 16, 2019, the Court entered the *Agreed Order Granting Motion for Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee* [ECF No. 409] and approved appointment of Michael McConnell as the Chapter 11 trustee in this case (the "Trustee") on October 22, 2019 [ECF No. 431].

Shortly before authority for use of Cash Collateral expired under the Consensual Cash Collateral Order, the Trustee and UBS AG, London Branch learned that the Debtor did not have sufficient cash to fund payroll and other operating expenses scheduled for payment. To address the immediate cash need, on October 24, 2019, the Trustee filed his *Notice of Motion and Trustee's Emergency Motion for (1) Authority to Accept a Partial Prepayment of the Amount Owed by California Asphalt Production, Inc. to the Estate, or in the Alternative for Authority to Obtain - 2 -*

### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 970 Filed 05/03/29 Entered 05/03/29 14:00:26 Desc M&MaiD Document nt Pragge 430 of f 4017

On November 7, 2019, the Trustee filed his *Emergency Motion for an Order: (1)*Authorizing the Trustee to Obtain Secured Priming Superpriority Financing; (2) Authorizing The

Continued Use of Cash Collateral; (3) Scheduling a Final Hearing; and (4) Granting Related Relief

[ECF No. 474] (the "Motion") for authorization to obtain post-petition financing from UBS AG,

Stamford Branch ("UBS AG, Stamford Branch" and together with UBS AG, London Branch,

"UBS") and continue to use the Cash Collateral of UBS AG, London Branch.

On November 8, 2019, the Court conducted an initial interim hearing on the Motion and entered the *Interim Order on Trustee's Emergency Motion for an Order: (1) Authorizing the Trustee to Obtain Secured Priming Superpriority Financing; (2) Authorizing Continued Use of Cash Collateral; (3) Scheduling a Final Hearing; and (4) Granting Related Relief [ECF No. 480] (the "Interim Order")*, authorizing up to \$267,317 borrowings through a further interim hearing on November 12, 2019.

On November 12, 2019, the Court conducted the further interim hearing and authorized post-petition financing on an interim basis. On November 18, 2019, the Court entered the Second Interim Order on Trustee's Emergency Motion for an Order: (1) Authorizing the Trustee to Obtain - 3 -

5

6

4

7 8

10

9

12

11

13 14

15 16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Secured Priming Superpriority Financing; (2) Authorizing Continued Use of Cash Collateral; (3) Scheduling a Final Hearing; and (4) Granting Related Relief [ECF No. 524] (the "Second Interim" Order").

Based upon the Motion, and the financing pursuant to the credit agreement attached to the Motion and the Trustee's use of Cash Collateral, such use being found necessary to avoid immediate and serious harm to the estate and potential harm to the public health and safety as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(b) and (c), a final hearing to consider approval of the Motion having been held on November 21, 2019 (the "Final Hearing"), notice and opportunity for hearing being sufficient under the circumstances, and upon the findings of fact and conclusions of law made by the Court at the interim hearings and the Final Hearing, all of which are incorporated herein by reference, and good cause appearing therefor,

#### IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Motion Granted. The Motion is granted on a final basis and the Facility (as defined below) and the Credit Agreement attached as Exhibit 3 to the Trustee's Declaration (as amended, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Credit Agreement") are approved subject to limitations expressly set forth herein. Any objection to the Motion with respect to entry of this Final Order that have not been withdrawn, waived or settled, and any reservation of rights included therein, are hereby denied and overruled except as expressly set forth herein. Except as specifically amended, supplemented, or otherwise modified by this Final Order, all provisions of the Second Interim Order remain in full force and effect and are hereby ratified by this Final Order and incorporated herein by reference as though set forth fully below.
- 2. Jurisdiction and Venue. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). The statutory predicates for the relief granted herein are section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy

3. <u>Hearing Held; Notice</u>. The Final Hearing was held pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 4001(c)(2). Notice of the Final Hearing and the relief requested in the Motion was given as set forth in the proof of service filed by the Trustee.

Rule 4001(c). Venue of this Chapter 11 proceeding and the Motion is proper in this district pursuant

- 4. <u>No Credit Available on More Favorable Terms</u>. The Trustee, on behalf of the Debtor and the Debtor's estate, is unable to find sufficient financing from sources other than UBS AG, Stamford Branch on terms more favorable than the terms for the term loan facility (the "<u>Facility</u>") described in the Credit Agreement.
- 5. Need for Post-Petition Financing and Use of Cash Collateral. This financing is critical for the Debtor to continue its operations in the ordinary course. The Facility, the Trustee's entry into the Credit Agreement, and related relief is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtor's estate, its employees, and all parties-in-interest. The Facility is the best source of financing available to the Debtor under the circumstances and was entered into in good faith and at arm's-length.
- 6. Protective Advances. The advances under the Facility shall constitute advances to protect and preserve the collateral under that certain First Lien Credit Agreement dated as of May 20, 2016 and that certain Second Lien Credit Agreement dated as of May 20, 2016 among the Debtor, Rincon Island Limited Partnership, GOGH, LLC and UBS AG, London Branch (collectively, the "Prepetition Credit Agreements" and the obligations arising thereunder the "Prepetition Obligations") and shall remain subject to any guarantee provided thereunder. For the avoidance of doubt, all proceeds of the Facility shall constitute Cash Collateral.
- 7. <u>Authorization for Emergency Financing</u>. The Trustee is authorized on a final basis to borrow, and UBS AG, Stamford Branch is authorized to advance, up to \$3 million in financing, 5 -

under the Credit Agreement, subject to the terms of this Final Order, and in accordance with the
budget attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (including all terms and conditions set forth therein and as may
be updated from time to time in accordance with the Credit Agreement, the "Budget"), subject to a
line-item variance of ten percent (10%) of the expenses set forth in the Budget tested on a
cumulative basis by disbursement categories contained in the Budget (the "Permitted Variance").
The Trustee and UBS AG, Stamford Branch are authorized to extend the availability period under
the Credit Agreement by up to two weeks and increase the amount of financing by an aggregate
amount of up to \$500,000 upon mutual agreement of the Trustee and UBS AG, Stamford Branch
without further order of this Court. All advances provided by UBS AG, Stamford Branch under
the Facility prior to the Final Hearing, including but not limited to the \$197,516 advanced by UBS
AG, Stamford Branch on an emergency basis pursuant to the Interim Order and the \$994,346
advanced by UBS AG, Stamford Branch pursuant to the Second Interim Order, shall be subject to
the terms of the Second Interim Order remain subject to the terms of those orders, as incorporated
into this Final Order and, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Final Order., shall remain
senior in priority to all other liens. Any advances provided by UBS AG, Stamford Branch under
the Facility after November 21, 2019 shall be subject to the terms of this Final Order and, to the
extent Santa Barbara has valid, senior, perfected, and non-avoidable liens for ad valorem taxes
under applicable law, shall be junior in priority and subject to such valid, senior, perfected, and
non-avoidable ad valorem tax liens in favor of Santa Barbara. Immediately upon entry of this Final
Order, the Trustee shall, and is hereby authorized on a final basis to, execute and deliver to UBS
AG, Stamford Branch the Credit Agreement and all other loan documents required to be executed
and delivered under the Facility. The Trustee and counsel acting on behalf of the Trustee are further
authorized to take any such actions that may be necessary to implement the Facility and borrow
funds under the Credit Agreement as approved in this Final Order, including without limitation to

#### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 970 Filed 05/25/29 Entered 05/25/29 17:20:26 Desc Media in Decorporation 1 Presupe 474 of 14017

issue, execute and deliver any such certificates, borrowing requests or other documents and directions that may be requested by UBS AG, Stamford Branch. Nothing in this Final Order shall create any obligation of UBS to advance or lend any money to the Trustee or the Debtor, and any such advances or loans shall be made by UBS AG, Stamford Branch only in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement and this Final Order. UBS respectfully notes that, should it agree to any additional financing beyond that provided pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement as in effect on the date hereof, and it undertakes no commitment to do so, it anticipates the interest rate for such financing will be higher than that provided hereunder to reflect limitations on the lien granted by this Order and the additional risk associated with such financing. Any funds advanced or loaned by UBS AG, Stamford Branch shall constitute a bona fide extension of credit to a non-affiliated borrower for purposes of the secured creditor exemption under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation Liability Act and comparable federal, state and local law. UBS AG, Stamford Branch shall not be deemed an operator or owner of the Debtor or any of its properties or incur any environmental or similar liabilities, including but not limited to, liability for environmental compliance, remediation, restoration or natural resource damages under any federal, state or local law solely as a result of providing funding, credit or advances to the Trustee.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

8. Based on the record before this Court, it appears (and the Trustee on behalf of the Debtor has stipulated) that the terms of the Facility, the Credit Agreement and this Final Order are fair and reasonable and are supported by reasonably equivalent value and fair consideration. The Court further finds that the Trustee's agreement to the terms of the Facility and Credit Agreement on behalf of the Debtor is a sound exercise of business judgment and should be approved as set forth herein.

27

#### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 978 Filed **Q5/Q5/29** Entered 05/05/29 14:00:26 Media i Dobourou emetrit Presigne 455 off 4101.7

9. <u>Amendment of the Credit Agreement</u> . Following entry of this Final Order, the
Trustee is authorized to enter into any non-material amendment or modification to the Credit
Agreement and the loan documents entered into in connection therewith without further order of
this Court. The Trustee shall promptly provide notice of any such amendment or modification to
the Court, the United States Trustee, the Committee and Harry E. Hagen, as Treasurer-Tax
Collector of the County of Santa Barbara, California ("Santa Barbara"). To the extent that such
modification or amendment is material, such material modification or amendment shall only be
permitted pursuant to an order of this Court on notice pursuant to Local Rule 2002-1(b) and a
hearing. Except as otherwise provided herein, no waiver, modification, or amendment of any of
the provisions of the Credit Agreement shall be effective unless set forth in writing, signed by the
Trustee and UBS AG, Stamford Branch. For the avoidance of doubt, an amendment of the
availability period of the Facility by up to two weeks and an increase of the amount of the Facility
by up to \$500,000 in accordance with paragraph 7 of this Final Order shall not constitute a material
amendment. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Credit Agreement, UBS's prior
consent to file a Chapter 11 plan is only required if the proposed Chapter 11 plan does not provide
for payment of the Obligations in full in cash on the effective date.

10. <u>Use of Funds</u>. The Trustee may use funds advanced under the Facility, on the terms and conditions set forth herein and in the Credit Agreement, provided that all such funds are used to pay approved operating expenses solely in accordance with the Budget (including all terms and conditions set forth therein). The Trustee is authorized to use funds advanced under the Facility to pay, or to fund a segregated account to pay, the reasonable fees and expenses for the Trustee's professionals and the Committee' professionals, only to the extent such fees and expenses for the Trustee's professional and the Committee's professionals are included in the Budget and accrued prior to an Event of Default. The provisions for escrowing of funds shall differ for the Trustee's

15 16

18 19

17

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

professionals and for the Committee professionals, each of whom agrees to such difference. The Budget amount for the Committee professionals shall be \$70,000 for the five-week period (which includes the total Investigation Budget (as defined below)) and shall be requested promptly by the Trustee and escrowed immediately upon receipt. Accordingly, once such funds are escrowed, the Committee professionals shall not enjoy the benefits of the Carve-Out set forth in paragraph 16 of this Final Order. The liens of UBS and GLR are subordinated in such escrow funds to the extent professional fees and expenses are awarded to the professionals. With regard to the Trustee's professionals, in addition to the escrowed fund, they shall enjoy the benefit of the Carve-Out set forth in paragraph 16 of this Final Order. For the avoidance of doubt, the funds advanced under the Facility shall not be used for payment of any expense not specifically included and/or not approved for payment under the Budget or otherwise authorized by this Final Order.

- 11. Liens, Collateral and Obligations. Without limiting the approval set forth above, the Court grants as follows:
  - (i) Except as set forth below, pursuant to section 364(c) and 364(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, UBS AG, Stamford Branch is granted valid and perfected first priority priming and senior security interests and liens (the "Financing Liens") in all property of the estate of the Debtor, including, but not limited to all of the Debtor's rights in tangible and intangible assets, including without limitation, all prepetition and postpetition assets of the Debtor's estate, whether existing on or as of the Petition Date or thereafter acquired, including without limitation, the Debtor's interest in oil and gas properties (and as-extracted collateral, goods, fixtures and hydrocarbons relating thereto), wells, accounts receivable, other rights to payment, any right to receive any residual of any retainer provided to any professionals after payment of such professional's allowed fees and expenses, cash, inventory, general intangibles, contracts, servicing rights, swap and hedge proceeds and termination payments, servicing receivables, securities, chattel paper, owned real estate, real property leaseholds, fixtures, machinery, equipment, deposit accounts, patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade names, rights under license agreements and other intellectual property, claims and causes of action (including those arising under sections 510 or 542 through 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, except as noted below), commercial tort claims, and the proceeds of all of the foregoing (the "Collateral") or proceeds thereof. The Financing Liens granted to UBS AG, Stamford Branch are valid, perfected and enforceable first priority priming and senior liens on all the Collateral that are superior to all other prepetition or post-petition liens, claims or security

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

interests in favor of any other lienholder, other than the Carve-Out (as defined below) and any valid, senior, perfected and non-avoidable ad valorem tax liens in favor of Santa Barbara (subject to the limitation set forth in paragraph 38 below). For the avoidance of doubt, the Financing Liens granted to UBS AG, Stamford Branch under the Second Interim Order for funds advanced prior to the Final Hearing shall remain senior in priority to any valid and perfected ad valorem tax liens. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the Financing Liens granted herein shall not attach to (a) avoidance claims of the estate against any party other than the Debtor's current and former insiders and affiliates or (b) the proceeds thereof. The Financing Lien shall only secure the Obligations (as defined below). UBS agrees, for the benefit of the Trustee and the Committee, that it will not look to recover from avoidance claims or proceeds without first making a reasonable good faith effort to collect from Prepetition Collateral (as defined below) to satisfy the Obligations.

- (ii) The Financing Liens against the assets of the Debtor and the Collateral shall be, and hereby are, confirmed, and extend to and secure all obligations and indebtedness of the Trustee on behalf of the Debtor and the Debtor's estate to UBS AG, Stamford Branch under the Facility and the Credit Agreement (the "Obligations"). The Financing Liens shall be, and are hereby determined to be, first priority priming and senior liens that are superior to all other liens, claims or security interests, pre- or post-petition, other than the Carve-Out (as defined below) and any valid, senior, perfected and non-avoidable ad valorem tax liens in favor of Santa Barbara (subject to the limitation set forth in paragraph 38 below), except as specifically set forth in this Final Order. This Final Order shall be deemed to grant and perfect, and be sufficient and conclusive evidence of the validity, perfection and priority of the Financing Liens. UBS AG, Stamford Branch may, but shall not be required, to file or record financing statements, mortgages, notices of lien, or similar instruments in any jurisdiction or take any other action in order to validate and perfect the Financing Liens granted to them pursuant to this Final Order, and the stay imposed under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code is hereby modified solely to permit the same. If UBS AG, Stamford Branch shall, in its sole discretion, choose to file such financing statements, mortgages, notices of lien or similar instruments or otherwise confirm perfection of and the Financing Liens, the Financing Liens granted herein shall be deemed perfected at the time and on the date of entry of this Final Order. Upon request by UBS AG, Stamford Branch, the Trustee is authorized, without the further consent of any party, to take any actions and to execute and deliver such instruments as may be necessary to enable UBS AG, Stamford Branch to further perfect, preserve and enforce the Financing Liens granted to UBS AG, Stamford Branch by this Final Order.
- (iii) For all Obligations, UBS AG, Stamford Branch is granted an allowed superpriority administrative claim pursuant to section 364(c)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Financing Superpriority Claim") having priority in right of payment over any and all other obligations, liabilities and indebtedness of the Trustee on behalf of the Debtor and/or the Debtor, whether now in existence or incurred by the Trustee on behalf of the Debtor and/or the Debtor after the Petition Date, and over any and all

- 10 -

administrative expenses or priority claims of the kind specified in, or ordered pursuant to, *inter alia*, sections 105, 326, 328, 330, 331, 503(b), 507(a), 364(c)(1), 564(c), or 726 of the Bankruptcy Code, other than the Carve-Out (as defined below). Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the Financing Superpriority Claim granted herein shall not be payable from (a) avoidance claims of the estate against any party other than the Debtor's current and former insiders and affiliates or (b) the proceeds thereof. UBS agrees, for the benefit of the Trustee and the Committee, that it will not look to recover from avoidance claims or proceeds without first making a reasonable good faith effort to collect from Prepetition Collateral (as defined below) to satisfy the Obligations. UBS agrees to observe any requirements of marshaling under applicable law.

- 12. <u>Use of Cash Collateral</u>. The Trustee may use Cash Collateral, on the terms and conditions set forth herein solely in accordance with the Budget until the date that is the earliest of (a) November 29, 2019, (b) the occurrence of an Event of Default (as defined in the Credit Agreement), and (c) the breach or failure of the Trustee or the Debtor to comply with the terms of this Final Order (the date of the occurrence of the earliest of (a), (b) and (c), the "<u>Termination Date</u>"). To the extent the Debtor holds an interest, all funds and cash investments of Debtor, including any funds on deposit at any banks or other institutions as of the Petition Date, are Cash Collateral of UBS AG, London Branch within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 363(a). In addition, all cash proceeds of the Prepetition Collateral (as defined below) (and investments thereof) received by the Debtor, the Trustee, or the estate of the Debtor after the Petition Date are Cash Collateral of UBS AG, London Branch within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 363(a). The Trustee shall not use any Cash Collateral except as permitted herein or as otherwise approved by this Court.
- 13. <u>Compliance with the Budget</u>. Except as otherwise set forth herein, the Trustee is hereby authorized to use all Cash Collateral until the Termination Date to pay the ordinary course operating expenses of Debtor's estate solely in accordance with the Budget (including all terms and conditions set forth therein), subject to the Permitted Variance.
- 14. <u>Limitations on Use of Proceeds and Cash Collateral</u>. The Trustee shall notify Huron Consulting Group ("<u>Huron</u>"), via email to both mkehl@huronconsultinggroup.com and 11 -

#### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 970 Filed 05/23/29 Entered 05/23/29 14:20:26 Desc Materin Document Page 42 of 407

azughayer@huronconsultinggroup.com, of any payments that exceed \$7,500 not less than 36 hours prior to initiating such payment (a "Proposed Payment"). If Huron does not object to the Proposed Payment within 36 hours by email to the Trustee at michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com, the Trustee may proceed to make such payment. Should Huron object to the Proposed Payment, such payment shall not be made without further order of the Court. The Trustee and UBS consent to judicial intervention on an expedited basis to determine whether such Proposed Payment may proceed. Any payments to be made under the Budget to Santa Barbara, departments or agencies of the County of Santa Barbara, and the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (the "APCD") must be approved by Huron. If approved, such payments shall be made timely in accordance with the Budget. For the avoidance of doubt, no payments shall be made to GIT pursuant to this Final Order for prepetition work or claims other than reimbursement with regard to the Debtor's employees. None of the Cash Collateral or the proceeds of the Facility, subject only to the Investigation Budget (as defined below), shall be used (i) to challenge UBS's claims and/or liens or (ii) to prevent or hinder UBS from exercising its rights or remedies.

15. Absent further order of the Court or written consent of UBS for payments specifically designated as a royalty payment or surface lease payment to an insider or affiliate, neither Debtor nor the Trustee shall make the following payments directly or indirectly: (i) any royalty payments or surface lease payments to insiders or affiliates of the Debtor or (ii) any payment of professional fees for the Debtor or any committee, but the Trustee shall hold any such payments provided for in the Budget in an interest-bearing escrow or segregated account. All such issues are expressly reserved for future determination. The Budget includes certain items for accounting purposes only; this Final Order does not permit payment of these items. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the proceeds of the Facility and Cash Collateral shall only be used to pay those items in the Budget that have been specifically approved by UBS or Huron and to escrow payments – 12 –

25

26

27

28

as set forth above. For the avoidance of doubt, neither the Debtor nor the Trustee has any authority to make, directly or indirectly, any (i) insider or affiliate royalty payments; (ii) insider or affiliate surface lease payments; (iii) professional fee payments, except payments authorized for the Trustee's professionals or Committee's professionals under this Final Order; or (iv) other payments which are listed below the line in the Budget for accounting purposes but not authorized by this Final Order, regardless of whether any such payments listed in (i)-(iv) are included in the Budget.

16. <u>Carve-Out</u>. There shall be a subordination of the Financing Liens and Financing Superpriority Claim granted to UBS AG, Stamford Branch on the Collateral, and the Adequate Protection Liens (as defined below) granted to UBS AG, London Branch on the Post-Petition Collateral and GLR on the Prepetition Collateral, and Adequate Protection Superpriority Claim (as defined below) granted to UBS AG, London Branch on the Post-Petition Collateral for the aggregate amount of reasonable professional fees and expenses for the Trustee's professionals, provided that such amount for the Trustee's professionals shall not exceed 25% of the amounts set forth in the Budget and accrued prior to occurrence of an Event of Default (the "Carve-Out"). If, for any reason, any portion of the sum of \$70,000 budgeted for the Committee's professionals (up to \$50,000 of which is for the Committee's Investigation Budget (as defined below)) is not funded to an escrowed account by the Trustee pursuant to paragraph 10 hereof, then such amount shall also constitute a part of the Carve-Out. Notwithstanding the foregoing, following the occurrence of an Event of Default, the Carve-Out shall include any withheld portion of the fees and expenses for the Trustee's professionals accrued prior to such date and set forth in the Budget, and an additional amount not exceed \$15,000 in the aggregate from and after a written notice of default. It is the intention of this Final Order that the combination of the escrowed amounts under paragraph 10 plus the Carve-Out equals 100% of the Budgeted fees and expenses for the Committee's professionals and 125% of the Budgeted fees and expenses for the Trustee's professionals accrued prior to an - 13 -

Event of Default plus the \$15,000 provided in the prior sentence after a written notice of default, if applicable. All such professionals having consented to the differential mechanics. Nothing in this Final Order shall be construed to impair the ability of UBS to object to any fees, expenses, reimbursements, or compensation sought by the Trustee. The Carve-Out shall not be used to investigate or commence or continue any action or proceeding against UBS, subject only to the Investigation Budget (as defined below).

17. Reporting Requirements. As a condition to use funds advanced under the Facility and Cash Collateral, the Trustee shall provide to UBS and the Committee a variance report reasonably acceptable to UBS on a weekly basis during the period for which use of such funds and Cash Collateral is permitted under this Final Order and any subsequent order, which shall be delivered by the Wednesday of the following week. Reporting of monthly sales revenue shall be no later than 5 business days following the end of the month. In addition, the Trustee and its representatives and agents shall provide to UBS and the Committee weekly reports, oral and/or written, regarding the status of operations and financial matters as well as any additional information reasonably requested by UBS.

### 18. <u>Additional Covenants</u>.

- (i) No later than November 18, 2019, the Trustee shall file an application in form and substance reasonably acceptable to UBS to retain persons acceptable to UBS on terms acceptable to UBS to provide a reserve report regarding the Debtor's hydrocarbon assets.
- (ii) No later than November 18, 2019, the Trustee shall file an application in form and substance reasonably acceptable to UBS to retain persons acceptable to UBS on terms acceptable to UBS to conduct a Phase I environmental study regarding the Debtor's hydrocarbon assets and operations.
- (iii) On or before November 18, 2019, the Trustee shall provide one or more reports to UBS as to the Trustee's efforts to stabilize and improve Debtor's operations and revenues, including efforts to maximize sale revenues for the benefit of the estate.

(v) The Debtor shall obtain and collect a minimum monthly sales revenue of \$750,000, measured as of the last day of each month.

19. Adequate Protection. UBS AG, London Branch is entitled, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 361 and 363(e), to adequate protection from any diminution in value of its interests in the collateral securing the Prepetition Obligations, including the Cash Collateral (collectively, the "Prepetition Collateral"), including, without limitation, any such diminution resulting from use by Debtor or the Trustee of Cash Collateral and any other collateral, and the imposition of the automatic stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362 (such diminution in value the "Adequate Protection Obligations"). Based on the Court's prior findings regarding the value of Prepetition Collateral in connection with the Cash Collateral hearings, Santa Barbara is adequately protected for priming

and use of Cash Collateral under this Final Order.

20. Adequate Protection – Replacement and Additional Liens. As partial adequate protection for the Adequate Protection Obligations, effective upon the commencement of this case and without the necessity of the execution by Debtor, the Trustee or UBS AG, London Branch of any mortgages, security agreements, pledge agreements, financing statements or otherwise, the following additional and replacement security interests and liens are hereby granted to UBS AG, London Branch (the "Senior Adequate Protection Liens"), subject only to (i) liens on the Collateral in favor of UBS AG, Stamford Branch to secure the Obligations, (ii) valid and perfected non-avoidable liens in existence on the Petition Date that are senior in priority to the liens securing the prepetition claims of UBS AG, London Branch, and (iii) valid liens in existence on the Petition Date that are perfected subsequent to the Petition Date as permitted by 11 U.S.C. § 546(b) that are senior in priority to the liens securing the prepetition claims of UBS AG, London Branch (subject to the limitation set forth in paragraph 38 below) ((i)–(iii) collectively, the "Permitted Liens"): (a) – 15 –

#### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 970 Filed 05/23/29 Entered 05/23/29 14:20:26 Desc Materin Document Page 56 of 407

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to the full extent of any diminution in value of the Prepetition Collateral, a perfected first priority senior security interest in and lien upon all cash of Debtor and any investment of the funds of Debtor, whether existing on the Petition Date or thereafter acquired as of the date hereof and as of the Petition Date; and (b) to the full extent of any diminution in value of the Prepetition Collateral, a perfected first priority senior security interest in and lien upon all other pre- and post-petition property of Debtor, whether existing on the Petition Date or thereafter acquired, including, without limitation, all accounts, cash, Cash Collateral, deposit accounts, chattel paper, instruments, documents, investment property, supporting obligations, customer lists, letter of credit rights, inventory, fixtures, equipment, general intangibles, goods, patents, copyrights and trademarks as well as all products and proceeds of any of the foregoing and books and records relating to any of the foregoing and to Debtor's business and the proceeds of all of the foregoing (collectively, the "Post-Petition Collateral"). For the avoidance of doubt, in accordance with paragraph 24 of this Final Order, the Senior Adequate Protection Liens granted herein shall not attach to avoidance claims of the estate or proceeds thereof. The Senior Adequate Protection Liens granted under this Final Order shall be junior only to the Permitted Liens and the Carve-Out.

21. GLR, LLC ("GLR") shall be entitled to, as adequate protection for its interest in Prepetition Collateral, effective upon the appointment of the Trustee and without the necessity of the execution by Debtor, the Trustee or GLR of any mortgages, security agreements, pledge agreements, financing statements or otherwise, the following additional and replacement security interests and liens which are hereby granted to GLR (the "Junior Adequate Protection Liens" and together with the Senior Adequate Protection Liens, the "Adequate Protection Liens"), subject only to the Permitted Liens to the full extent of any diminution in value of the Prepetition Collateral, and only to the extent of the validity, priority, and enforceability of GLR's prepetition lien in the Prepetition Collateral, a perfected replacement security interest in and lien upon the Prepetition – 16 -

15

18

21

24

25 26

27

28

Collateral and all proceeds thereof, whether existing on the Petition Date or thereafter acquired. For the avoidance of doubt, in accordance with paragraph 24 of this Final Order, the Junior Adequate Protection Liens granted herein shall not attach to avoidance claims of the estate or proceeds thereof. The Junior Adequate Protection Liens shall be junior only to the Permitted Liens, the Carve-Out, and the Senior Adequate Protection Liens.

- 22. Adequate Protection for the Use of Cash Collateral – Superpriority Claim. To the extent the Post-Petition Collateral granted to UBS AG, London Branch herein does not provide adequate protection of its interests in the Cash Collateral, the Adequate Protection Obligations shall constitute a super-priority administrative expense claim under Section 507(b) of the Bankruptcy Code ("Adequate Protection Superpriority Claim"). The Adequate Protection Superpriority Claim shall have priority over all administrative expenses of any kind or any subsequently filed bankruptcy case under any Chapter of the Bankruptcy Code in any court of competent jurisdiction, including such administrative expenses of the kinds specified in, or allowable under, Sections 105, 326, 330, 331, 503(b), 506(c), 507(a), or 507(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, subject only to the Financing Superpriority Claim and the Carve-Out. Additionally, no: (i) costs or expenses of administration which have been or may be incurred (a) in the Chapter 11 Case; (b) after conversion of the Chapter 11 Case to a case proceeding under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, or (c) in any other proceeding related hereto; and/or (ii) priority claims as defined in Section 507(a) of the Bankruptcy Code are, or will be, senior to or pari passu with the Adequate Protection Superpriority Claim other than the Financing Superpriority Claim and the Carve-Out. For the avoidance of doubt, in accordance with paragraph 24 of this Final Order, the Adequate Protection Superpriority Claims granted herein shall not attach to avoidance claims of the estate or proceeds thereof.
- 23. Perfection of Adequate Protection Liens. This Final Order shall be deemed to grant and perfect, and be sufficient and conclusive evidence of the validity, perfection and priority of the - 17 -

#### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 978 Filed 05/25/29 Entered 05/25/29 17:20:26 Desc Main/Document Page 58 of 407

Adequate Protection Liens as of the Petition Date. UBS AG, London Branch may, but shall not be required, to file or record financing statements, mortgages, notices of lien, or similar instruments in any jurisdiction or take any other action in order to validate and perfect the Adequate Protection Liens granted to them pursuant to this Final Order, and the stay imposed under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code is hereby modified solely to permit the same. If UBS AG, London Branch shall, in its sole discretion, choose to file such financing statements, mortgages, notices of lien or similar instruments or otherwise confirm perfection of and the Adequate Protection Liens, the Adequate Protection Liens granted herein shall be deemed perfected at the time and on the date of entry of this Final Order. Upon request by UBS AG, London Branch, the Trustee is authorized, without the further consent of any party, to take any actions and to execute and deliver such instruments as may be necessary to enable UBS AG, London Branch to further perfect, preserve and enforce the Adequate Protection Liens granted to UBS AG, London Branch by this Final Order.

24. The Adequate Protection Liens granted by this Final Order and the Adequate Protection Superpriority Claim granted by this Final Order shall not attach to avoidance claims of the estate or proceeds thereof. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Final Order shall prevent UBS from asserting claims against or participating in such claims or proceeds under any other basis, including with respect to the Financing Liens. Without limiting the foregoing, this provision shall not be retroactive, such that nothing in the Final Order shall alter or change the status of, or impose any limitation or agreement on, any lien or claim against such avoidance actions of proceeds thereof with regard to use of Prepetition Collateral or Cash Collateral granted by any order entered in this case prior to the date hereof. Nothing herein shall impair or modify UBS AG, London Branch's rights to seek additional adequate protection pursuant to section 507(b) of the Bankruptcy Code in the event that the adequate protection provided to UBS AG, London Branch hereunder is

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

insufficient to compensate for any diminution in value of its interests in the Cash Collateral or any other Prepetition Collateral during this case or any successor case.

- 25. Termination of Cash Collateral Use. On the Termination Date, the Trustee's right to use the Cash Collateral on the terms and conditions set forth in this Final Order shall terminate automatically. By written agreement, UBS AG, London Branch may agree to waive such termination in full or permit only limited use of Cash Collateral in any manner following such event.
- 26. Budget Amendments. UBS may, but is not required to, by written agreement, amend the Budget, including to, among other things, extend the date through which Cash Collateral may be used and to increase the amount of Cash Collateral that may be used thereunder; provided, however, that any amendment to the Budget made pursuant to the authority set forth in this Final Order shall be subject to the following conditions and limitations:
  - (a) any such amendment shall not alter the nature and types of payments that were authorized under this Final Order; and
- The foregoing conditions and limitations are intended to apply only to consensual changes to the Budget that are made pursuant to the authority of this Final Order.

(b) any such amendment shall require the consent of the Trustee.

- 27. Upon entry of a written amendment in compliance with the foregoing, the new agreed budget shall constitute the Budget for all purposes under this Final Order and the Credit Agreement.
- 28. Promptly following the amendment of the Budget in accordance with the foregoing, the Trustee shall promptly file notice with this Court, and provide notice of such entry to all parties entitled to notice.

- 29. Reservation of Rights. The right of the Trustee to seek additional or different use of Cash Collateral is specifically preserved, *provided that* upon filing of any such request, UBS AG, London Branch may by written notice terminate authorization to use Cash Collateral pursuant to this Final Order, or may agree to permit only use of Cash Collateral in any limited manner following such event; *provided, however*, any action seeking additional or different use of Cash Collateral without the express written consent of UBS shall immediately result in the occurrence of the Termination Date.
- 30. Remedies on Event of Default. If an Event of Default occurs, UBS AG, Stamford Branch shall have the right without any notice of further order of the Court to take any and all actions and pursue all remedies permitted under the Credit Agreement and applicable law in response to such Event of Default, subject to the requirements of paragraph 31 below. UBS AG, Stamford Branch shall have the right to exercise any remedies under the Credit Agreement and applicable law on five days' notice to the Trustee, the Committee, the United States Trustee, and Santa Barbara.
- 31. The automatic stay of section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code shall be and hereby is modified and vacated without further order, notice or application to the Court to the extent necessary to allow UBS to perform any act authorized by this Final Order. If an Event of Default occurs, UBS AG, Stamford Branch shall have the right to seek an order on five days' notice to the Trustee, the Committee, the United States Trustee, and Santa Barbara lifting the automatic stay to permit UBS AG, Stamford Branch to foreclose on the Collateral or alternatively, compelling the Trustee to sell the Collateral pursuant to section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. Such motion may be accompanied by an affidavit on behalf of UBS AG, Stamford Branch stating that an Event of Default has occurred and setting forth the facts of such Event of Default. Any party opposing the

stay should not be lifted with respect to the Collateral.

32. <u>Further Assurances</u>. No further actions shall be required to reflect the Financing Liens or Adequate Protection Liens granted to UBS or the Obligations or Adequate Protection Obligations incurred by the Trustee or the Debtor. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Trustee and UBS are granted authority to take any such actions and execute any such documents as they may deem appropriate to reflect the Financing Liens and the Adequate Protection Liens granted to UBS or the Obligations incurred by the Trustee or the Debtor, including without limitation execution and delivery of one or more notes, deeds of trust, financing agreements and all other actions as UBS may reasonably request.

- 33. Section 506(c) Waiver. All rights of the Debtor, the Trustee, and the estate to surcharge the collateral of UBS are hereby waived for rights accruing during the period that the Trustee receives advances or is authorized to use Cash Collateral pursuant to this Final Order or the Credit Agreement, provided that, as consideration for such waiver, the Trustee shall be authorized to obtain advances and use Cash Collateral for expenses in the Budget prior to any Event of Default or Termination Date, and further provided that the waiver shall apply whether or not the Trustee actually uses such advance or Cash Collateral for a specific expense set forth in the Budget or uses them for another purpose so long as such funds are actually disbursed by the Trustee.
- 34. Right to Credit Bid. UBS shall have the right to credit bid up to the full amount of its outstanding Obligations and Prepetition Obligations in connection with any sale of the Debtor's assets, the Prepetition Collateral, the Post-Petition Collateral or the Collateral under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code. If UBS transfers all or any portion of its claims, the right of the transferee to credit bid shall remain subject to a challenge "for cause" under section 363(k) of the Bankruptcy Code solely based upon the transfer, the actions of the transferee, or events arising after such 21 -

ransfer. All defenses to any such challenge to the credit bid rights of a transferee are preserved.

Nothing herein shall constitute consent by UBS to any sale of such assets, Prepetition Collateral, the Post-Petition Collateral or the Collateral.

- 35. <u>Successors and Assigns</u>. The provisions of this Final Order shall be binding upon UBS, the Debtor, the Trustee and their respective successors and assigns (including any other trustee hereinafter appointed or elected for the Debtor's estate) and inure to the benefit of UBS, the Trustee and the Debtor and their respective successors and assigns.
- 36. <u>Compliance with Laws</u>. Nothing in this Final Order or the Budget shall permit the Debtor or the Trustee to violate 28 U.S.C. § 959(b), and nothing in this Final Order or the Budget shall in any way diminish the obligation of any entity, including the Debtor and the Trustee, to comply with environmental laws.
- 37. Priority. Except as set forth herein with respect to the Financing Liens, nothing in this Final Order shall determine or effect the relative priority of any senior prepetition lien or postpetition lien, and all rights are expressly reserved in that regard. All rights are expressly reserved with respect to whether any asset is cash collateral for any entity other than UBS and thus any entitlement of such other entities to adequate protection, including without limitation any superpriority claim.
- 38. To the extent Santa Barbara has valid, senior, perfected, and non-avoidable liens for ad valorem taxes, penalties, interest, and attorneys' fees under applicable law, the Financing Liens and Adequate Protection Liens granted to secure Obligations incurred under the Facility after the Final Hearing on November 21, 2019 in accordance with this Final Order shall be junior in priority and subject to such valid, senior, perfected, and non-avoidable ad valorem tax liens in favor of Santa Barbara only to the extent of such lien on the Collateral. For the avoidance of doubt, the Financing Liens, Financing Superpriority Claim, Adequate Protection Liens, and the Adequate -22-

Protection Superpriority Claim granted to UBS AG, Stamford Branch under the Second Interim Order to secure all Obligations advanced by UBS AG, Stamford Branch pursuant to the Interim Order and Second Interim Order prior to November 21, 2019 are valid, perfected and enforceable first priority priming and senior liens on all the Collateral that are superior to all other prepetition or post-petition liens, claims or security interests in favor of any other lienholder (including any valid, perfected, enforceable and non-avoidable ad valorem tax liens), other than the Carve-Out. Nothing in this Final Order shall determine the priority, amount, and extent of the Santa Barbara ad valorem tax liens or claims. All rights with regard to priority, amount, and extent of the Santa Barbara tax liens or claims are fully preserved.

- Effect of Final Order. If any or all of the provisions of this Final Order are hereafter reversed, modified, vacated or stayed, such reversal, modification, vacatur or stay, shall not affect (i) the validity of any Adequate Protection Obligations incurred before the actual receipt of written notice by UBS AG, London Branch of the effective date of such reversal, modification, vacatur or stay or (ii) the validity or enforceability of any lien or priority authorized or created hereby. Notwithstanding any such reversal, modification, vacatur or stay, any use of the Facility proceeds or Cash Collateral or Obligations or Adequate Protection Obligations incurred by Debtor or the Trustee to UBS before the actual receipt of written notice by UBS of the effective date of such reversal, modification, vacatur or stay, shall be governed in all respects by the original provisions of this Final Order, and UBS shall be entitled to all the rights, remedies, privileges and benefits granted in section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code and this Final Order with respect to all uses of the Facility proceeds or Cash Collateral and the Obligations or Adequate Protection Obligations.
- 40. Except as expressly provided in this Final Order, the Financing Liens, the Superpriority Claims, the Adequate Protection Liens, the Adequate Protection Superpriority Claims and all other rights and remedies of UBS granted by the provisions of this Final Order shall survive, -23 -

and shall not be modified, impaired or discharged by (i) the entry of an order converting the case to a case under chapter 7, dismissing of the case, or by any other act or omission or (ii) the entry of an order confirming a plan in the case. The terms and provisions of this Final Order shall continue in this case, or in any superseding chapter 7 case under the Bankruptcy Code, and the Financing Liens, the Superpriority Claims, the Adequate Protection Liens, the Adequate Protection Superpriority Claims, and all other rights and remedies of UBS granted by the provisions of this Final Order shall continue in full force and effect until the Obligations and the Adequate Protection Obligations are indefeasibly paid in full.

- 41. <u>Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law</u>. This Final Order shall constitute findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Court and shall take effect immediately upon execution hereof.
- 42. <u>Filing</u>. This Final Order may be filed in any state or local jurisdiction in order to evidence and perfect UBS's liens and security interests, as granted and confirmed herein. At the request of UBS's counsel, the clerk of court shall issue a certified copy of this Final Order and shall execute such other certificates or affidavits of authenticity as may be reasonably necessary to put this Final Order in a form that may be accepted by the applicable filing office.
- 43. <u>Business Judgment and Good Faith Pursuant to Section 364(e) of the Bankruptcy Code</u>. The terms of the Facility, Credit Agreement, and this Final Order were negotiated in good faith and at arms' length among the Trustee and UBS. Financing provided under the Facility and the Credit Agreement shall be deemed to have been extended in good faith and for valid business purposes and uses, within the meaning of section 364(e) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 44. <u>Stipulations</u>. Effective upon the expiration of the Challenge Period (as defined below), the Trustee will be deemed to have admitted, acknowledged, agreed and stipulated that: (i) the amount due to UBS under the Prepetition Credit Agreements, as of June 30, 2019, is approximately \$127 million, plus such allowable interest, fees and charges as may accrue -24-

# Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 970 Filed 05/05/29 Entered 05/05/29 14:00:26 Desc MaterinDocument Page 02 of 407

thereafter; (ii) the Prepetition Obligations constitute legal, valid, enforceable and binding
obligations of the Debtor; (iii) no offsets, defenses or counterclaims to the Prepetition Obligations
exist; (iv) no portion of the Prepetition Obligations is subject to avoidance, disallowance, reduction
or subordination pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code or applicable non-bankruptcy law; (v) the
Prepetition Credit Agreements are valid and enforceable by UBS AG, London Branch against the
Debtor; (vi) the liens and security interests in the Prepetition Collateral securing the Prepetition
Obligations (the "Prepetition Liens") were perfected as of the Petition Date and constitute legal,
valid, binding, enforceable and perfected liens in and to the Prepetition Collateral and are not
subject to avoidance, reduction, disallowance, disgorgement, counterclaim, surcharge or
subordination pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code or applicable non-bankruptcy law, and such liens
had priority over any and all other liens on the Prepetition Collateral, subject only to certain liens
expressly permitted by the Prepetition Credit Agreements (to the extent any such permitted liens
were legal, valid, properly perfected, non-avoidable and senior in priority to the Prepetition Liens
as of the Petition Date or thereafter pursuant to section 546(b) of the Bankruptcy Code); (vii) the
Prepetition Obligations constitute allowed secured claims against the Debtor's estate to the extent
of the Collateral; and (viii) the Debtor and its estate have no claim, objection, challenge or cause
of action against UBS or any of its affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, partners, controlling persons,
agents, attorneys, advisors, professionals, officers, directors and employees, whether arising under
applicable state or federal law (including, without limitation, any recharacterization, subordination,
avoidance or other claims arising under or pursuant to sections 105, 510 or 542 through 553 of the
Bankruptcy Code), in connection with any of the Prepetition Credit Agreements (or the transactions
contemplated thereunder), the Prepetition Obligations or the Prepetition Liens, including without
limitation, any right to assert any disgorgement or recovery.

# Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 970 Filed 05/05/29 Entered 05/05/29 14:00:26 Desc MaterinDocument Page 06 of 407

45. <u>Effect of Stipulations on Third Parties</u> . The stipulations, admissions, agreements
and releases contained in this Final Order shall be binding upon all other parties in interest,
including, without limitation, any statutory or non-statutory committees appointed or formed in this
case, and any other person or entity acting or seeking to act on behalf of the Debtor's estate,
including the Trustee in all circumstances and for all purposes unless: (a) any party in interest
(subject in all respects to any agreement or applicable law that may limit or affect such entity's
right or ability to do so), in each case, with requisite standing granted by the Court or, in the case
of the Committee, upon the filing of a motion seeking such standing, has timely and properly filed
an adversary proceeding, contested matter, or as to the Committee, motion seeking standing
(subject to the limitations contained herein) by no later than a date that is the later of (i) January
13, 2020; (ii) any later date agreed to by UBS AG, London Branch in writing in its sole discretion;
and (iii) any such later date ordered by the Court for good cause shown after notice and an
opportunity to be heard, provided that the motion seeking such relief is filed before the expiration
of any applicable period as set forth in clauses (i)-(iii) of this sentence (the "Challenge Period"),
(A) objecting to or challenging the validity, perfection, enforceability, priority or extent of the
Prepetition Obligations, or (B) otherwise asserting or prosecuting any action for preferences,
fraudulent transfers or conveyances, other avoidance power claims through or on behalf of the
Debtor's estate against UBS AG, London Branch (collectively, the "Challenge Proceeding"); and
(b) there is a final non-appealable order in favor of the plaintiff sustaining any such Challenge
Proceeding; provided, however, that any pleadings filed in connection with any Challenge
Proceeding shall set forth with specificity the basis for such challenge or claim and any challenges
or claims not so specified prior to the expiration of the Challenge Period shall be deemed forever,
waived, released and barred. If any such Challenge Proceeding is timely filed during the Challenge
Period, such filing shall immediately result in the occurrence of the Termination Date.

- 46. If no such Challenge Proceeding is timely and properly filed during the Challenge Period or the Court does not rule in favor of the plaintiff in any such proceeding: (i) any and all Challenge Proceedings or potential Challenge Proceedings shall be deemed to be forever waived and barred; (ii) all stipulations, admissions, agreements and releases contained in this Final Order shall be irrevocably and forever binding on all parties in interest; (iii) the Prepetition Liens shall be deemed to constitute valid, binding, and enforceable encumbrances, and not subject to avoidance pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code or applicable non-bankruptcy law; and (iv) the Debtor shall be deemed to have released, waived and discharged UBS AG, London Branch from any and all obligations and liabilities to the Debtor and from any and all claims, counterclaims, demands, debts, accounts, contracts, liabilities, actions and causes of action arising prior to the Petition Date.
- 47. If any such Challenge Proceeding is timely filed during the Challenge Period, the stipulations, admissions, agreements and releases contained in this Final Order shall nonetheless remain binding and preclusive on all persons and entities, except to the extent that such stipulations, admissions, agreements and releases were expressly and successfully challenged in such Challenge Proceeding as set forth in a final, non-appealable order of a court of competent jurisdiction. UBS AG, London Branch reserves all of its rights to contest on any grounds any Challenge Proceeding. Nothing in this Final Order vests or confers on any Person (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code), including any statutory or non-statutory committees appointed or formed in this case, standing or authority to pursue any claim or cause of action belonging to the Debtor or its estate.
- 48. The Trustee may use the funds advanced under the Facility to investigate (i) the claims and liens of UBS AG, London Branch and (ii) potential claims, counterclaims, causes of action or defenses against UBS AG, London Branch; *provided that* no more than an aggregate of \$5,000 of the funds advanced under the Facility may be used by the Trustee in respect of any such investigation (the "<u>Trustee's Investigation Budget</u>") and \$50,000 of the funds advanced under the -27 -

1	###
2	
3	Agreed as to form only:
4	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
5	By: //www.pomerantz
6	Maxim B. Litvak
7	10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067
8	(310) 277-6910
9	Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com mlitvak@pszjlaw.com
10	COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS
11	
12	SNOW SPENCE GREEN LLP
13	By:
14	W. Ross Spence 2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 2800
15	Houston, Texas 77019 (713) 335-4800
16	Email: ross@snowspencelaw.com
17	ATTORNEYS FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; HARRY E.
18	HAGEN, AS TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; AND THE SANTA BARBARA COUNTY AIR POLLUTION
19	CONTROL DISTRICT
20	Agreed as to form only, and shall not indicate that this Final Order satisfies condition under
21	the Credit Agreement nor that the condition is waived:
22	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
23	By:
24	Evan M. Jones 400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor
25	Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 (213) 430-6000
26	Email: ejoines@omm.com
27	ATTORNEYS FOR UBS AG, LONDON BRANCH AND UBS AG, STAMFORD BRANCH
28	- 28 -
	FINAL ORDER FOR EMERGENCY PRIMING AND SUPERPRIORITY FINANCING AND CONSENSUAL USE OF CASH COLLATERAL BY THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE

1	###
2	Agreed as to form only:
4	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
5	By:
6	Jeffrey N. Pomerantz  Maxim B. Litvak
7	10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067
8	(310) 277-6910 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
9	mlitvak@pszjlaw.com
10	COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS
11	SNOW SPENCE GREEN LLP
12	
13	W. Ross Spence
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 2800 Houston, Texas 77019
16	(713) 335-4800 Email: ross@snowspencelaw.com
17	ATTORNEYS FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; HARRY E.
18	HAGEN, AS TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; AND THE SANTA BARBARA COUNTY AIR POLLUTION
19	CONTROL DISTRICT
20	Agreed as to form only, and shall not indicate that this Final Order satisfies condition under
21	the Credit Agreement nor that the condition is waived:
22	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
23	By:
24	400 South Hope Street, 18th Elbor
25	Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 (213) 430-6000
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Email: ejoines@omm.com
28	ATTORNEYS FOR UBS AG, LONDON BRANCH AND UBS AG, STAMFORD BRANCH - 28 -
<b>-</b>	FINAL ORDER FOR EMERGENCY PRIMING AND SUPERPRIORITY FINANCING AND CONSENSUAL USE OF CASH COLLATERAL BY THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE

## EXHIBIT 1

	HVI CAT CANYON INC.	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	TOTAL
	weeks 14-18 budget	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	
lotes	week starting	28-Oct-19	4-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	25-Nov-19	
	Beginning Cash Balance	36,784			•	•	36,7
	<u>Cash Inflows</u>						
	SMV		12,000	•	1,460,858	-	1,472,8
İ	Redu		•	•	49,917	•	49,9
	Belridge		-	-	58,155	•	58,1
	Total Cash Inflows	•	12,000	<u> </u>	1,568,931	•	1,580,9
	Royalties		(118,392)	-	(141,171)	•	(259,5
	Escrow Royalties		(27,690)	•	(27,148)	-	(54,8
	Total Net Cash Inflows	•	(134,081)	•	1,400,611		1,266,5
	Cash Outflows						
1	Operating Expenses						
	Payroll Checks		76,000	•	76,000	. ]	152,0
	Payroli Taxes	28,763	•	29,000		29,000	86,
	Garnishment & Child Support	'.	2,011	•	1,006	.	3,
	Surface Rents		75,634	•	•	- 1	75,
)	Consultants		9,008	-	9,008	-	18,
l L	Phones		2,500	•	2,000	-	4,
2	Power PG&E		30,000	•	170,000	.	200,
	Power SoCalEdison		20,000	•	•	- 1	20,
	Waste Management		2,100	•	-	2,100	4,2
	Water		1,000	2,000	•		3,
	SouthernCalGas	1.	75	75	-	75	
	Portable Restrooms	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1,100	•	1,500		2,
	Alarms		•	500	-		!
	Cafeteria		•	•	250	. [	
	Copies		•	•	250		
4	Chemicals	.	10,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	25,
5	Pumps		25,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	55,
6	Gasoline		25,000	12,500	12,500	12,500	62,
7	Transportation	} .	•	•	150,000	.	150,
8	Vacuum Trucks		•	•	56,000	.	56,
9	LCR		•	-	575,000	-	575,
0	Electricians	.	10,000	5,000	10,000	5,000	30,
1	Welders		5,000	2,500	2,500	2,500	12,
22	Supplies (Belts-Parts)		2,000	1,500	1,500	1,500	6,

	HVI CAT CANYON INC.	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	TOTAL
ļ	weeks 14-18 budget	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	
	week starting	28-Oct-19	4-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	25-Nov-19	
_	Parts (Compressor, Pipe, others)	•	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,00
1	Clean Chemical towers	-	3,000	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,50
	Vehicle maintenance		16,000	•	8,000	• [	24,00
	Drink Water		150	•	150	•	30
	Weed abatement		15,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	45,00
1	Well Analysis		3,000	•	3,000	- }	6,0
1	Compliance		25,000	•	25,000	•	50,0
	Fire Department		-	•	•	•	-
9	APCD		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	8,0
0	SBP - APCD		-	•	146,436	-	146,43
1	SBP - P&D	•	•	•	159,843	-	159,84
2	SBP - FD		•	•	16,440	-	16,4
3	SBP - EHS		-	•	10,475	•	10,4
	SBP - Tax		•	-	-	•	-
4	Escrow - Surface Rents	-	7,500	•	•	•	7,5
5	Netherland and Sewell Reserve Report		-	•	•	25,000	25,0
	Total Operating Expenses	28,763	373,078	86,575	1,470,357	111,175	2,069,9
	G&A Expenses						
	Bank Charges & fees	100	100	100	100	100	5
16	Insurances	1 .	9,000	9,000	19,000	- 1	37,0
17	Chapter 11 Trustee Professionals	228,834	108,894	108,894	108,894	108,894	664,4
	Unsecured Creditor Committee Professionals		•	•	50,000	•	50,0
	U.S. Trustee Payment		25,000	•	•	-	25,0
0	Backoffice & Administrative		-	•	156,000	-	156,0
	Interest		-	•	•	•	•
	Total G&A	228,934	142,994	117,994	333,994	108,994	932,9
11	Health and Safety						
12	SMV Health and Safety		28,000	88,000	56,000	16,000	188,0
13	Belridge Health and Safety		4,500	5,000	20,000	3,000	32,
14	Redu Health and Safety		31,047	3,000	16,000	40,000	90,0
••	Total Health and Safety		63,547	96,000	92,000	59,000	310,
45	Total Cash Outflows	257,697	579,618	300,569	1,896,351	279,169	3,313,4
	Net Cash Flow	(257,697)	(713,699)	(300,569)	(495,740)	(279,169)	(2,046,
	Beginning Cash Balance	36,784	•	•	•	.	36,7 36

	HVI CAT CANYON INC.	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	TOTAL
	weeks 14-18 budget	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	
Notes	week starting	28-Oct-19	4-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	25-Nov-19	
	Net Cash Flow	(257,697)	(713,699)	(300,569)	(495,740)	(279,169)	(2,046,875)
·	Net Borrowing/(Pay Down)	220,913	713,699	300,569	495,740	279,169	2,010,091
	Ending Cash Balance	•	•	•	•		•
46	Loan Balance	220,913	934,613	1,235,182	1,730,922	2,010,091	2,010,091
1	Book Bank Balance Reconciliation						
	Starting Balance 10/24/19	18,022					
	Transfer for net amount for Sept Revenue	23,810					
	Transfer #1 against October Revenue	60,000					
	Transfer #2 against October Revenue	20,000					
	Balance as of 10/25/19	121,832					
	Total Check Disbursements on 10/25/19	85,048					
	Net Available book balance 10/28/19	36,784					
	The price per barrel is calculated for Santa Maria Valle crude less \$7.  The price per barrel for Redu is calculated using the av The price per barrel for Belridge is calculated using the All pricing is subject to adjustments based upon the gr the October 2019 Revenue Projection schedule for a description.	rerage price per l e average price pravity of the oil p	parrel posted by er barrel posted roduced. The p	the same 4 corpo by the same 4 cor ior month's reven	rations for Buena porations for Bue	Vista crude less ena Vista crude l	\$5.75. ess \$0.75.
3	Affiliate California Asphalt Production, Inc. advanced \$ surface lease payment to Boisseranc.	80k of the forec	asted revenue fo	or October to HVI	in week 13 and ar	n additional \$12l	k in week 15 to cover a
4	In aggregate, monthly royalties are approximately 139	6 of production v	vhich is approxi	mately 1 month's i	evenue less the L	.CR shipments.	
5	Escrow Royalties are based upon an insider's 2.5% over						less the LCR shipments
6	Due to cash flow constraints in Week 14, the majority	of forecasted di	sbursements fo	r the week were ro	olled into the fore	casted disburser	ments for week 15.
7	Bi-weekly payroll for HVI's 41 employees, including insobjection period prior to disbursement.	sider Alex Dimitri	jevic's compens	ation that, as the I	President and CO	O of HVI, is subje	ect to a 15 day

	HVI CAT CANYON INC.	Fo	recast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	TOTAL
	weeks 14-18 budget	W	eek 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	
- 1	week starting	28-	Oct-19	4-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	25-Nov-19	
	Schedule of payroll taxes due to State and Federal Ta	xing Au	thorities	due on week 13				
	Federal Income Tax Withholding         8,999.87           Socia Security Tax Withholding         12,284.18           Medicare Tax Withholding         2,672.94           Total Form 941 Liability         24,156.99							
	Federal Unemployment Insurance Liability 35.30							
	State Income Tax Withholding         3,215,65           CA SDI Tax Withholding         99,69           State Unemployment Insurance         364,81           Total Form DE-9 Liability         4,571,15							
	Total Liability for 10-25-2019 Payroll 28,763.44							
	Surface Rent Sub schedule		11557 <b>4</b> 15	·				
	Surface Lease Owner:	Amo		<u>Timing</u>				
	Boisseranc	\$		Due on the 1st		is a secure in alude	os ¢27k for uppai	d curfaca laaca
	Buganko	\$	41,878		of the month. The prior post-petition	is amount include on budgets.	es \$27k for unpai	a surrace lease
	Medema (1/2) Thomson (1/4) (- McLaughlin (1/4)	\$	7,500	Due on the 1st	of each month			
	(3) Etchandy family members	\$	-	Lease to be rej	ected, no amount	due		
	State College, LLC (Evelyn Roper)	\$	-	\$1,5851.33 due	e on an annual ba	sis - has been paid	d for 2019.	
	Adam Family Trust	\$	-	No amount due	2			
	Orcutt Fee, LLC	\$		\$5,000 due on	Annual - paid for	2019		
	Marianne Friedl	\$	-	\$3,700 due on	Annual - paid for	2019		
	C.M.T LLC	\$		\$100 will be du	e in January 2020	).		
	Manfred Sander	\$	6,800	Due before the	end of October 2	019		
	E & B Natural Resources	\$	4	Under review,	no amount currer	ntly due		
	Grundoon, LLC (Firestone)	\$	7,500	Due on the 1st	of each month			
	Morganti Ranch	\$		\$5,500 on a mo	onthly basis but le	ase is currently sh	nut-in so no amo	unt due.
	Morganti Ranch	\$	-		no amount currer			
	Morganti Ranch	\$	-	Under review,	no amount currer	ntly due		
	Railroad	\$		\$454 due in De	cember 2019			
	(4) Righetti family members	\$	-	1141 1121111111111111111111111111111111		nt due in Decemb	er 2020	
	(3) Judy A. Rogers, Ronald H. Souza, Jr., Michael J.	\$		\$750 due in Ja				
	Souza	• • •		enders one work that the the the things of the	Andrew Control of the Control of			
	Roland and Sandy Miller	\$	-	\$300 due in De	ecember 2019			
	Multiple Bradley Lands	\$		No amount du	e in October or N	ovember 2019		
	Total amount due in week 14	\$	75,634					
	HVI pays the following 3 consultants on a biweekly b	asis:						
	Name and Description:	Amo	unt:					

Armelin Lease PTO No. 07775 - R8

**Facility** 

revision if additional permit fees for quitclaimed leases to insiders are identified:

7,895

	HVI CAT CANYON INC.	Fo	precast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	TOTAL
	weeks 14-18 budget	N	leek 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	
Notes	week starting	28	-Oct-19	4-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	25-Nov-19	
	Battles Lease PTO No. 08219 - R11	\$	7,323					
	Bradley Lands/Bradely Consolidated Lease PTO No. 076	\$	41,123					
İ	Continental Lease PTO No. 08222 - R11	\$	5,425					
	Cross Development Lease PTO No. 08863 - R9	\$	458					
	East Valley Farms Lease PTO No. 08864 - R9	\$	458					
	Fullerton Lease PTO No. 08868 - R13	\$	7,551					
	Jim Hopkins Lease PTO No. 09310 - R8	\$	13,796					
	Lakeview Gas Plant PTO No. 10108 - R8	\$	38,032					
	Lakeview Lease PTO No. 10096 - R8	\$	7,385					
1	Los Flores PTO No. 07307 - R12	\$	16,074					
	McKenzie Lease PTO No. 10079 - R8	\$	458					
	Olean Lease PTO No. 10080 - R8	\$	458					
į	Total due for APCD PTOs	\$	146,436					
	Excluded PTO fee due to a quitclaimed lease to an insi	der.						
ļ	Golco Lease PTO No. 10078 - R8	\$	4,679					
31	Amount is based upon the following County of Santa E	Barba	ra Planning	and Developm	ent post-petition	facility and lease	inspection fees.	Subject to revision if
	additional permit fees for quitclaimed leases to inside							
	Account Number/Permit ID Number:		ount:					
	Permit ID # 19ACB-00000-00914 for 500 post-petition							
	un-inspected facilities .	\$	110,452					
	19ACT-00880	\$	210					
	19ACT-00922	\$	6,560					
	19ACT-00920	\$	10					
	19ACT-00914	\$	350					
	19ACT-00921	\$	6,280					
1	19ACT-00926	\$	12,640					
	19ACT-00928	\$	9,032					
	19ACT-00938	\$	108					
	19ACT-00930	\$	10					
	19ACT-00932	\$	10					
	19ACT-00934	\$	108					
	19ACT-00936	\$	108					
1	-	è	262					
1	19ACT-00887	ş						
	19ACT-00887 19ACT-00878	\$	420					
		\$ \$						
	19ACT-00878	\$ \$ \$	420					

	HVI CAT CANYON INC.	F	orecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	TOTAL
	weeks 14-18 budget	١	Veek 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	
1	week starting	2	8-Oct-19	4-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	25-Nov-19	
	19ACT-00924	\$	12,640					
1	Total due to P&D for inspection fees	\$	159,843					
32	Amount is based upon the following Santa Barbara Co	unty	Fire Departr	nent Post-Peti	tion California Fire	e Code Inspection	Permit Fees. Sub	ject to revision if
	permits for additional quitclaimed leases to insiders ar <u>Site Name</u>		entified: ount:					
	Battles	\$	1,370					•
	Blochman	\$	1,370					
	Bell Gas Compressor	\$	1,370					
	Bell Lease	\$	1,370					
	Casmalia/Morganti	\$	1,370					
	Chamberlin B	\$	1,370					
	Chamberlin	\$	1,370					
	Davis B	\$	1,370					
	Davis	\$	1,370					
	Fullerton Lease	\$	1,370					
	Jim Hopkins	\$	1,370					
	Jim Hopkins Los Flores	\$ \$	1,370 1,370					
	Los Flores  Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits	\$ \$	1,370 16,440					Maria Maria
33	Los Flores	Unif	1,370 16,440 led Program					
33	Los Flores  Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits  Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 led Program	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores  Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits  Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores  Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits  Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California  amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet  Permit ID:	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores  Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits  Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet  Permit ID:  FA0010063	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen mit Fee for 2 1,857	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet Permit ID: FA0010325	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen mit Fee for 2 1,857 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen mit Fee for 2 1,857 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet  Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen mit Fee for 2 1,857 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet  Permit ID: FA0010063 FA0010325 FA0011176 FA0011177	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen mit Fee for 2 1,857 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176 FA0012015	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen mit Fee for 2 1,857 555 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176 FA001215 FA0012328	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen 1,857 555 555 555 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet  Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176 FA0011177 FA0012328 FA0012329	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environment  mit Fee for 2 1,857 555 555 555 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet  Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176 FA001215 FA0012328 FA0012329 FA0012330	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen 1,857 555 555 555 555 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176 FA0011177 FA0012015 FA0012328 FA0012329 FA0012330 FA0012495	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environment 1,857 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet  Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176 FA001215 FA0012328 FA0012329 FA0012330 FA0012495 FA0013065	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environmen  mit Fee for 2 1,857 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				
33	Los Flores Total due for Fire Department CFC Permits Per Docket #308, Declaration of James Ray, California amounts due for the following Santa Barbara Post-pet Permit ID: FA0010325 FA0010326 FA0011176 FA001215 FA0012328 FA0012329 FA0012330 FA0012312	Unif tition	1,370 16,440 ied Program Environment 1,857 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555	ital Health Ser				

	HVI CAT CANYON INC.	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	TOTAL
	weeks 14-18 budget	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	
Notes	week starting	28-Oct-19	4-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	25-Nov-19	
		A 010					

FA0015899	\$ 848
Total amount due for EHS permits	\$ 10,475

- 34 Rent due on HVI East Clarke office not approved under Interim Cash Collateral Order
- Chapter 11 Trustee negotiated a progress payment plan with Netherland & Sewell for a 2019 Reserve Report. The \$100-\$120k total fee can be paid on weekly basis for \$25k a week once they start work.
- Per Ernesto Olivares, a total of \$18k for worker's comp insurance to be paid in weeks 15 and 16 and \$19k to renew \$1MM bond due in week 17.
- Chapter 11 Trustee professionals agree to a 20% deferral of professional fees incurred during this 5-week period, assuming the bank agrees to carve out the remaining 20%. Per Professional Fee Budget, weekly payments for Chapter 11 Trustee, Counsel and Financial Advisor will be put in escrow during this 5-week budget. Payments to professionals to be made only after employment applications are approved and payments authorized.
- Per Professional Fee Budget, Unsecured Creditors Committee Counsel has a forecasted \$50k monthly run rate and payments will be put in escrow during this 5-week budget.
- Per Professional Fees budget, US Trustee payment for Q3 2019 forecasted for week 15 based upon 1% of debtors disbursements in Q3 2019 of approximately \$2.5M per August and September Monthly Operating Reports ("MOR").
- Per Ernesto Olivares on 10/30/2019, affiliate GiT's October 2019 invoice for back office and administrative services will be approximately \$156k. GIT allocates expenses among the affiliated entities based upon headcount of each respective entity. Per Cost and Sale Summary schedule, GIT's allocation and the Legal Fee summary schedule details of the pre-petition invoices offset against revenue due to HVI in Week 13.
- 41 Per the draft 13-week Health and Safety Budget to be presented in 2-weeks.
- The amounts reflected here reflect the first 4-weeks of the draft 13-week total SMV Health and Safety Budget. Over the full 13-week period forecasted expenses are approximately \$275k but should reduce compliance violation fines and environmental risks.
- The amounts reflected here reflect the first 4-weeks of the draft 13-week total Belridge Health and Safety Budget. Over the full 13-week period forecasted expenses are approximately \$66k but should reduce compliance violation fines, environmental risks and, by reducing these liabilities, potentially make the field more attractive to prospective buyers.
- The amounts reflected here reflect the first 4-weeks of the draft 13-week total Redu Health and Safety Budget. Over the full 13-week period forecasted expenses are approximately \$337k but should reduce compliance violation fines, environmental risks, and, by reducing these liabilities, potentially make the field more attractive to prospective buyers.
- 45 Total Cash Outflows for Operating, General and Administrative, and Health and Safety Expenses but not including royalties.
- Estimated Funding required for the 5-week period ending week 18, excluding interest, is approximately \$2M. Interest to be added to the 13-week budget to be presented in 2-weeks.

Case	Matair Diocument Page 30 of 407
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Agreed as to form only:
13 14	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
15	By:
16	Jeffrey N. Pomerantz Maxim B. Litvak
17	10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067
18	(310) 277-6910 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
19	mlitvak@pszjlaw.com
20	COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS
21	CNOW CRENCE CREEN LLD
22	SNOW SPENCE GREEN LLP
23	By: W. Ross Spence
24	2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 2800 Houston, Texas 77019
25	(713) 335-4800 Email: ross@snowspencelaw.com
26	ATTORNEYS FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; HARRY E.
27 28	HAGEN, AS TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA - 29 -
20	FINAL ORDER FOR EMERGENCY PRIMING AND SUPERPRIORITY FINANCING AND

CONSENSUAL USE OF CASH COLLATERAL BY THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE

EXHIBIT "2"

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doe 976 Filed 05/05/29 Entered 05/05/29 08:39:32 Dese

Fill in this information to identify the case:	2 or 320
Debtor name: HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.	
United States Bankruptcy Court for the: Northern District of	☐ Check if this is an
TX 19-32857	amended filing

### Official Form 206A/B

## Schedule A/B: Assets — Real and Personal Property

12/15

Disclose all property, real and personal, which the debtor owns or in which the debtor has any other legal, equitable, or future interest. Include all property in which the debtor holds rights and powers exercisable for the debtor's own benefit. Also include assets and properties which have no book value, such as fully depreciated assets or assets that were not capitalized. In Schedule A/B, list any executory contracts or unexpired leases. Also list them on *Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases* (Official Form 206G).

Be as complete and accurate as possible. If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet to this form. At the top of any pages added, write the debtor's name and case number (if known). Also identify the form and line number to which the additional information applies. If an additional sheet is attached, include the amounts from the attachment in the total for the pertinent part.

For Part 1 through Part 11, list each asset under the appropriate category or attach separate supporting schedules, such as a fixed asset schedule or depreciation schedule, that gives the details for each asset in a particular category. List each asset only once. In valuing the debtor's interest, do not deduct the value of secured claims. See the instructions to understand the terms used in this form.

Part 1: Cash and cash equivalents	
1. Does the debtor have any cash or cash equivalents?	
No. Go to Part 2.	
Yes. Fill in the information below.	
All cash or cash equivalents owned or controlled by the debtor	Current value of debtor's interest
2. Cash on hand	\$ <u>5,000.00</u>
3. Checking, savings, money market, or financial brokerage accounts (Identify all)	
Name of institution (bank or brokerage firm)  Type of account  Last 4 digits of account	
3.1. See Attachment	\$\frac{48,448.73}{\$}
	·
4. Other cash equivalents (Identify all)	•
4.1	
4.2.	<b>\$</b>
5. Total of Part 1	<sub>\$</sub> 53,448.73
Add lines 2 through 4 (including amounts on any additional sheets). Copy the total to line 80.	
Part 2: Deposits and prepayments	
6. Does the debtor have any deposits or prepayments?	
No. Go to Part 3.	
Yes. Fill in the information below.	
	Current value of debtor's interest
7. Deposits, including security deposits and utility deposits	
Description, including name of holder of deposit	
7.1. See Attachment	<sub>\$_</sub> 728,828.58
7.2	<b>\$</b>

## Dec 276 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 07:39:39:32 Desc Main Document Page 2005/1376 Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB

	HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.			Case number (# known) 19-32857
,	Name and address of recipient			
Ī	lame			
les	Street			
7	Dity	State	ZIP Code	
F	Relationship to debtor			
thin	6 years before filing this case, ha	s the debtor	r been a member o	of any consolidated group for tax purposes?
	Yes. Identify below. me of the parent corporation			Employer Identification number of the parent
	GIT, Inc.			corporation
				EIN: 91-1986286
	s. Identify below.			
	s. Identify below.			Employer Identification number of the pension fund
N	lame of the pension fund			
14: W ccc 18	Signature and Declaration  ARNING Bankruptcy fraud is a semection with a bankruptcy case cars U.S.C. §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 35 have examined the information in this	rious crime. n result in fine 71.	es up to \$500,000 o	ement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both.
14: W co	Signature and Declaration  ARNING Bankruptcy fraud is a semection with a bankruptcy case cars U.S.C §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 35	rious crime. n result in fine 71. s Statement o	es up to \$500,000 o of Financial Affairs a	ement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud or imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both.
14: W co 18	Signature and Declaration  ARNING Bankruptcy fraud is a sennection with a bankruptcy case cars U.S.C. §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 35 have examined the information in this true and correct.	rious crime. n result in fine 71. s Statement o	es up to \$500,000 o of Financial Affairs a	ement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud or imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both.  and any attachments and have a reasonable belief that the information.
14: W co 18	Signature and Declaration  ARNING Bankruptcy fraud is a segment of the pension fund  ARNING Bankruptcy fraud is a segment of the pension with a bankruptcy case care at U.S.C. §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 35 have examined the information in this true and correct.  Declare under penalty of perjury that the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension of the pension fund o	rious crime. In result in fine 71. Is Statement of	es up to \$500,000 o of Financial Affairs a	ement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud or imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both.

# Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Dec 276 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/29 03:34:22 Desc Main Document Page 204061376

#### Attachment to Part 2

Official Form 207

#### Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy

04/19

The debtor must answer every question. If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet to this form. On the top of any additional pages, write the debtor's name and case number (if known).

Debtor name: HVI CAT Canyon, Inc.

Case number: 19-32857

#### Part 2: List Certain Transfers Made Before Filing for Bankruptcy

#### 4. Payments or other transfers of property made within 1 year before filing this case that benefited any insider

List payments or transfers, including expense reimbursements, made within 1 year before filing this case on debts owed to an insider or guaranteed or cosigned by an insider unless the aggregate value of all property transferred to or for the benefit of the insider is less than \$6,825. (This amount may be adjusted on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that with respect to cases filed on or after the date of adjustment.) Do not include any payments listed in line 3. Insiders include officers, directors, and anyone in control of a corporate debtor and their relatives; general partners of a partnership debtor and their relatives; affiliates of the debtor and insiders of such affiliates; and any managing agent of the debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 101(31).

	Insider's Name and address	Dates	Total amount of value	Reason for payment or transfer
4.1				
	GLR, LLC	8/6/2018	\$112,500	Payment of Rent
	45 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 2410	4/19/2019		
	New York, NY 10111			
	Relationship to debtor			
	Affiliate			
4.2				
	GRL, LLC	7/31/2018	\$330,346	Royalty Payments
	45 Rockefeller Plaze, Suite 2410	Through		
	New York, NY 10111	10/26/2018		
	Relationship to debtor			
	Affiliate			
4.3			*	
	Greka Construction, LLC	8/1/2018	\$108,000	Construction/Maintenance Services
	2617 Clark Avenue	Through		
	Santa Maria, CA 93454	1/18/2019		
	Relationship to debtor			
	Affiliate			

Page 1 of 3 **0081** 

## Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 03:34:26 Desc Main Document Page 235061376

#### Attachment to Part 2

Official Form 207

#### Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy 04/19

The debtor must answer every question. If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet to this form. On the top of any additional pages, write the debtor's name and case number (if known).

Debtor name: HVI CAT Canyon, Inc. Case number: 19-32857

#### Part 2: List Certain Transfers Made Before Filing for Bankruptcy

#### 4. Payments or other transfers of property made within 1 year before filing this case that benefited any insider

List payments or transfers, including expense reimbursements, made within 1 year before filing this case on debts owed to an insider or guaranteed or cosigned by an insider unless the aggregate value of all property transferred to or for the benefit of the insider is less than \$6,825. (This amount may be adjusted on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that with respect to cases filed on or after the date of adjustment.) Do not include any payments listed in line 3. Insiders include officers, directors, and anyone in control of a corporate debtor and their relatives; general partners of a partnership debtor and their relatives; affiliates of the debtor and insiders of such affiliates; and any managing agent of the debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 101(31).

4.4

GTL1, LLC 7/30/2018 \$1,808,000 Transportation Services 1700 Sinton Road Through .

Santa Maria, CA 93458 4/1/2019

Relationship to debtor

Affiliate

4.5

GIT, Inc. 7/30/2018 \$2,292,000 Admin Services

1700 Sinton Road Through Santa Maria, CA 93458 7/19/2019

Relationship to debtor

Affiliate

4.6

GRL, LLC Jan-19 Returned defaulted, Lease Termination due to 45 Rockefeller Plaze, Suite 2410 non-producing property non-payment of shut in

New York, NY

Relationship to debtor

Affiliate

Page 2 of 3 **0082** 

royalties

## 

#### Attachment to Part 2

Official Form 207

#### Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy

04/19

The debtor must answer every question. If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet to this form. On the top of any additional pages, write the debtor's name and case number (if known).

Debtor name: HVI CAT Canyon, Inc.

Case number: 19-32857

#### Part 2: List Certain Transfers Made Before Filing for Bankruptcy

#### 4. Payments or other transfers of property made within 1 year before filing this case that benefited any insider

List payments or transfers, including expense reimbursements, made within 1 year before filing this case on debts owed to an insider or guaranteed or cosigned by an insider unless the aggregate value of all property transferred to or for the benefit of the insider is less than \$6,825. (This amount may be adjusted on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that with respect to cases filed on or after the date of adjustment.) Do not include any payments listed in line 3. Insiders include officers, directors, and anyone in control of a corporate debtor and their relatives; general partners of a partnership debtor and their relatives; affiliates of the debtor and insiders of such affiliates; and any managing agent of the debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 101(31).

4.7

GLR, LLC 45 Rockefeller Plaze, Suite 2410

New York, NY

Jan-19

Returned defaulted, non-producing property Lease Termination due to non-payment of shut in

royalties

Relationship to debtor

Affiliate

4.8

California Asphalt Production, Inc. 7 1660 Sinton Road

Santa Maria, CA 93458

7/26/2018 Through 7/25/2019 \$7,176,390

Supplier

Relationship to debtor

Affiliate

Page 3 of 3 **0083** 

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Dec 976 Filed 05/05/29 Entered 05/05/29 07:34:22 Des Main Document Page 840-61-176

n to identify	the case and this fi	iling:
t Canyon,	Inc.	
Court for the:	Northern	District of TX
19-32857	7	(State)
	at Canyon,	at Canyon, Inc.  Court for the:  19-32857

## Official Form 202

## **Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury for Non-Individual Debtors**

12/15

An individual who is authorized to act on behalf of a non-individual debtor, such as a corporation or partnership, must sign and submit this form for the schedules of assets and liabilities, any other document that requires a declaration that is not included in the document, and any amendments of those documents. This form must state the individual's position or relationship to the debtor, the identity of the document, and the date. Bankruptcy Rules 1008 and 9011.

WARNING -- Bankruptcy fraud is a serious crime. Making a false statement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud in connection with a bankruptcy case can result in fines up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 3571.

#### **Declaration and signature**

I am the president, another officer, or an authorized agent of the corporation; a member or an authorized agent of the partnership; or another individual serving as a representative of the debtor in this case.

I have examined the information in the documents checked below and I have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct:

	Schedule A/B: Assets-Real and Personal Property (Official Form 206A/B)
	Schedule D: Creditors Who Have Claims Secured by Property (Official Form 206D)
	Schedule E/F: Creditors Who Have Unsecured Claims (Official Form 206E/F)
	Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases (Official Form 206G)
	Schedule H: Codebtors (Official Form 206H)
	Summary of Assets and Liabilities for Non-Individuals (Official Form 206Sum)
	Amended Schedule
	Chapter 11 or Chapter 9 Cases: List of Creditors Who Have the 20 Largest Unsecured Claims and Are Not Insiders (Official Form 204)
	Other document that requires a declaration
I de	clare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct
Exe	cuted on 09/06/2019
	MM / DD / YYYY Signature of individual signing on behalf of debtor
	Randeep S. Grewal
	Printed name

Position or relationship to debtor

Chairman

EXHIBIT "3"

**From:** Tim Skillman < tim.skillman@cr3partners.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 12:33 PM

To: Ernesto <meo@greka.com>

Cc: James Baring <james.baring@cr3partners.com>; Michael McConnell <michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com>; Eric Israel

<EPI@dgdk.com>

Subject: Monthly settlement procedures

#### Hi Ernesto:

Thank you for meeting with James and me this morning on the intercompany bills for October, 2019. As promised, I have attached a memorandum to you summarizing the discussion.

I have also attached an email sent to your counsel from Eric Israel addressing the same issues. I thought this might be helpful, as well.

I had asked you for details on the travel expenses and to reissue the GIT bill to HVI and the HVI bill to GIT to reflect the bankruptcy requirements. Upon receipt of those, we will be ready to fund payments to GIT and GTL1 and ready to receive payment from CAP on the 20<sup>th</sup>, per the agreement.

Please call me if you have any questions on this note.

#### **Tim Skillman**

**Partner** 

6171 W. Century Blvd, Suite 350, Los Angeles, CA 90045 **Office:** +1 (800) 728-7176 | **Direct:** +1 (213) 393-6337

Bio | Website | LinkedIn

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 87 of 117



## Memorandum

To: Ernesto Olivares

From: Tim Skillman

Date: 11/19/20192

Subject: Payment Agreement between HVI and Affiliates for October Revenue and Services

As discussed in our meeting today, below you'll find a reconciliation of the amounts due to HVI for October production and amounts due to GTL1 and GIT for October services. As you are aware, HVI Cat Canyon commenced a reorganization effort on July 25<sup>th</sup>, 2019 under Chapter 11 of the US Bankruptcy Code. Please be advised that 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) provides that the commencement of a bankruptcy case effects an automatic stay protecting the debtor and the estate from various actions. Among many other rules the automatic stay prohibits payment of any pre-petition debts, setoffs, requires that all officers comply with the insider compensation procedures in order to receive compensation or reimbursement of expenses, and, similarly, all professionals are subject to retentions orders to receive compensation for their services. Under this context, the reconciliations below reflect the amounts due to HVI and to affiliates GTL1 and GIT for October Revenue and Services.

#### Amount CAP owes HVI for October Production

HVI owes CAP	\$ 1,811,154
CAP Advances to HVI	\$ (92,000)
CAP Diluent Deliveries	\$ (590,903)

\$ 1,128,250 Net amount CAP to remit to HVI on November 20, 2019

#### Amount HVI owes GTL1, LLC for October Transportation

October Tansportation	\$ 247,689
September Auto Insurance	\$ 10,480
October Auto Insurance	\$ 10,480

\$ 268,649 Amount HVI to remit to GTL1

#### Net amount HVI owes GIT for October Backoffice and Administrative Invoice

GIT October Invoice	\$ 242,987
Professional Fees	\$ (29,205)
Legal Fees	\$ (70,934)
Estimated Insider Payroll	\$ (40,000)

\$ 102,848 Net amount HVI to remit to GIT

I would ask that you reissue the bill from HVI to CAP (reflecting the prepayment) and reissue the bill from GIT to HVI (reflecting the bankruptcy requirements) and detailed invoice support for all line items on the GIT invoice. Please remit payment for October Production on October 20<sup>th</sup> and the Debtor will remit payments to GTL1 and GIT thereafter for the amounts detailed above.

Sincerely,

Tim Skillman

Chief Restructuring Officer of HVI Cat Canyon, Inc., Debtor

Bankruptcy Case No. 9-bk-19-11573-MB

#### Zev Shechtman

From: Eric Israel <EPI@DanningGill.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 11:36 AM

**To:** Patty Tomasco

Cc: michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com; Will Beall; Susan M. Whalen; Aaron E. de Leest;

Michael McConnell; Tim Skillman; James Baring; Razmig Izakelian; Brian Campbell

**Subject:** HVI -- Improper Setoffs

**Attachments:** July through September Affiliate Reconciliation from Ernesto.xlsx

**Importance:** High

Patty, Susan and Will: So that we are all on the same page here, the current situation is that the debtor sells crude oil and gas to CAP, and the debtor buys diluent from CAP. The debtor uses shipping services of GTL1, and administrative and office services provided by GIT. Attached is a chart provided by Ernesto covering the post-petition period through September 30, 2019. Although GIT and GTL1 are separate legal entities, CAP is unilaterally setting off not only for the diluent but also the invoices from GLT1 and GIT. The Trustee believes that all of these setoffs, but particularly the triangular setoffs, are improper and violate the automatic stay. This has been going on since the commencement of the case by the DIP, but now that a trustee is in place, things need to be handled properly in accord with a normal customervendor relationship and the dictates of the Bankruptcy Code. CAP should pay the Trustee the full amount it owes. GIT and GTL1 should provide proper invoices to the Trustee, and if he has questions or issues, the Trustee will ask. If not, he will pay the bills. The approved cash collateral budget includes items for diluent, shipping and operating/administrative services.

Compounding the problem is that the setoffs, some of which are not supported by detailed invoices, have included items specifically forbidden for payment in a bankruptcy case. The GIT invoice includes salary for Randeep Grewal and Ernesto Olivares. Both are insiders, and neither complied with the insider compensation procedures. Moreover, the cash collateral and other orders forbid payments to insiders. Invoices have also included pre-petition bills and bills for attorneys' fees for professionals that were never employed by the estate. At some point, those back items will need to be addressed too.

In any event, the next payment to the Trustee from CAP is due tomorrow. Please talk with your clients and ensure that the proper payment is made without setoffs and that we restore a normal customer-vendor relationship here. I am advised that the CRO of HVI Cat Canyon met with Ernesto Oliveres this morning and conveyed this same message to him. Please confirm this process with him at your earliest convenience. Of course, the Trustee reserves all of his rights and remedies.

thanks

From: Patty Tomasco <pattytomasco@quinnemanuel.com>

**Sent:** Monday, November 18, 2019 8:07 PM **To:** Eric Israel <EPI@DanningGill.com>

**Cc:** michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com; Will Beall <Will@beallandburkhardt.com>; Susan M. Whalen <susan@whalenattorney.com>; Aaron E. de Leest <adeleest@DanningGill.com>; Michael McConnell <michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com>; Tim Skillman <tim.skillman@cr3partners.com>; James Baring <james.baring@cr3partners.com>; Razmig Izakelian <razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com>; Brian Campbell

<bri>description

Subject: RE: Royalty Escrow Account

#### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 90 of 117

Thanks. I don't think that there is any disagreement here about the state of the law. Because conversations were had with Mr. Olivares and others not well-versed on the bankruptcy law concepts, there may have been a misunderstanding about what the trustee's representatives authorized or approved in the way of recoupment. I think that the most efficient way of handling this is to send me what you think might be the right accounting of what was not properly recouped and for us to review and respond to that view with documentation.

Let me know if you disagree with this approach.

Patty Tomasco

Partner

#### Quinn Emanuel Urguhart & Sullivan, LLP

711 Louisiana Street, Suite 500 | Houston, TX 77002 p: 713-221-7227 | m: 512-695-2684

From: Eric Israel [mailto:EPI@DanningGill.com]
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2019 9:57 PM

To: Patty Tomasco <pattytomasco@quinnemanuel.com>

Cc: michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com; Will Beall < Will@beallandburkhardt.com >; Susan M. Whalen

<susan@whalenattorney.com>; Eric Israel <EPI@DanningGill.com>; Aaron E. de Leest <a deleest@DanningGill.com>; Michael McConnell <michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com>; Tim Skillman@cr3partners.com>; James Baring

<james.baring@cr3partners.com>
Subject: RE: Royalty Escrow Account

#### [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Patty and Will: I will inquire on the status of royalties to affiliates. I do know that the Trustee holds a segregated account for insider royalties.

On a related matter, please see the attached letter I sent Friday to Susan Whalen. To the extent you and Will represent other affiliates, it may apply to them too. At our meeting, Susan said she represented all affiliates, but in the future I will include all 3 of you.

#### thanks

From: Patty Tomasco < pattytomasco@quinnemanuel.com >

**Sent:** Monday, November 18, 2019 7:07 PM **To:** Eric Israel <EPI@DanningGill.com>

Cc: michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com; Will Beall < Will@beallandburkhardt.com >; Susan M. Whalen

<<u>susan@whalenattorney.com</u>> **Subject:** Royalty Escrow Account

Please let me know of the disposition of this bank account that represents post-petition royalties owed to GRL. As you know, royalties are real property interests that are not property of the estate. UBS only allowed for royalties to be paid to non-affiliates, but that doesn't change the nature of the royalty interest. I would also like to be included on all conversations with GIT personnel. What I am getting is a mismatch between representations to the Court and what I am being told. The only way that I can be effective is to be included on all communications.

I would like to have a call tomorrow to discuss. Please let me know what works. Thanks.

Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 91 of 117 Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB

Patty Tomasco Partner

**Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP** 711 Louisiana Street, Suite 500 | Houston, TX 77002 p: 713-221-7227 | m: 512-695-2684

Product/Service	Invoice Amount	Payments/month	Payments/Amount	Balance
Crude Oil				
July./2019	255,327.30	Aug./2019	1,156,398.90	(901,071.60)
Aug./2019	1,900,651.58	Sept./2019	1,450,000.00	450,651.58
Sept./2019	1,514,426.96	Oct./2019	404,003.00	1,110,423.96
Total	3,670,405.84		3,010,401.90	660,003.94
Natural Gas				
July./2019		Aug./2019	-	-
Aug./2019	1,710.00	Sept./2019	-	1,710.00
Sept./2019	4,943.00	Oct./2019	-	4,943.00
Total	6,653.00		-	6,653.00

CIT Comices				
GIT Services				
July./2019		Aug./2019	-	-
Aug./2019	122,093.51	Sept./2019	-	122,093.51
Sept./2019	244,160.92	Oct./2019	-	244,160.92
Total	366,254.43		-	366,254.43
GTL 1 (Transp)				
July./2019		Aug./2019	181,733.00	(181,733.00)
Aug./2019	245,521.00	Sept./2019	198,000.00	47,521.00
Sept./2019	202,106.00	Oct./2019		202,106.00
Total	447,627.00		379,733.00	67,894.00
CAP (LCR)				
July./2019		Aug./2019	313,235.63	(313,235.63)
Aug./2019	447,466.88	Sept./2019	347,820.00	99,646.88
Sept./2019	420,691.67	Oct./2019	-	420,691.67
Total	868,158.55		661,055.63	207,102.92
CAP (Marketing)				
July./2019		Aug./2019	-	-
Aug./2019	737.16	Sept./2019	-	737.16
Sept./2019	858.06	Oct./2019	-	858.06
Total	1,595.22		-	1,595.22

Affiliattes Owed to HVI	666,656.94
HVI Owed to Affiliattes without GIT	(276,592.14)
Net Owed to HVI without GIT	390,064.80
HVI Owed to GIT	(366,254.43)
Net Owed to HVI	23,810.37

EXHIBIT "4"

**HVI CAT CANYON** 

PO BOX 6030 Ita Maria, Ca 93456 INVOICE

Invoice number

HVICAP-0919

Invoice Date:

September 30, 2019

Bill To:

CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price-	Amount
1	Crude Oil from SMV deliver from 09/01/2019 to 09/30/2019 Crude Oil from Bellridge deliver from 09/01/2019 to 09/30/2019	BBL BBL	28,118.86 1,600.60	\$48.21 \$59.54	\$ 1,355,633.04 \$ 95,298.88
September	Crude Oil from Redu deliver from 09/01/2019 to 09/30/2019	BBL	1,161.29	\$54.68	\$ 63,495.04

Total \$ 1,514,426.96

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 95 of 117

**HVI CAT CANYON** 

<sup>-5</sup>O BOX 6030 anta Maria, Ca 93456 INVOICE

Invoice number

HVICAP-0819

Invoice Date:

August 31, 2019

Bill To:

CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price 6	Amount
August	Crude Oil from SMV deliver from 08/01/2019 to 08/31/2019 Crude Oil from Bellridge deliver from 08/01/2019 to 08/31/2019	BBL	38,377.21	\$47.35	\$ 1,817,134.09
August		BBL	1,474.31	\$56.65	\$ 83,517.49

Total \$

1,900,651.58

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 96 of 117

**HVI CAT CANYON** 

PO BOX 6030 ,nta Maria, Ca 93456 INVOICE

Invoice number

HVICAP-0719

Invoice Date:

July 31, 2019

Bill To:

CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
July July	Crude Oil from SMV deliver from 07/01/2019 to 07/31/2019 Crude Oil from Bellridge deliver from 07/01/2019 to 07/31/2019	BBL BBL	17,452.13 1,610.23	\$48.63 \$61.60	\$ 848,754.11 \$ 99,184.21
July	Crude Oil from Redu deliver from 07/01/2019 to 07/31/2019	BBL	145.06	\$58.32	\$ 8,460.58

Total \$

956,398.90

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 97 of 117

HVI CAT CANYON

PO BOX 6030 nta Maria, Ca 93456 INVOICE

Invoice number

HVICAP-0719B

Invoice Date:

July 31, 2019

Bill To:

CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
JULY	Natural Gas deliver from 7/01/2019 to 07/31/2019	MCF	1,641.00	\$1.00	\$ 1,641.00

Total \$

1,641.00

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 98 of 117

**HVI CAT CANYON** 

PO BOX 6030 nta Maria, Ca 93456 INVOICE

Invoice number

HVICAP-0819B

Invoice Date:

August 31, 2019

Bill To:

CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
August	Natural Gas deliver from 8/01/2019 to 08/31/2019	MCF	1,710.00	\$1.00	\$ 1,710.00

Total \$

1,710.00

**HVI CAT CANYON** 

PO BOX 6030 anta Maria, Ca 93456 INVOICE

Invoice number

HVICAP-0919B

Invoice Date:

September 30, 2019

Bill To:

CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
September	Natural Gas deliver from 09/01/2019 to 09/30/2019	MCF	4,943.00	\$1.00	\$ 4,943.00

Total \$

4,943.00

Greka Integrated, Inc

1700 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

GITHVI-0719

Invoice Date:

July 31, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Backoffice & Administrative expense July 2019

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	<i> </i>	Amount
July	Payroll				\$	80,911.90
July	Payroll taxes				\$	3,763.34
July	Insurance & benefit plan				\$	28,510.51
July	Computer Service				\$	1,093.81
July	Office rent				\$	4,726.37
July	Office repairs & cleaning				\$	2,471.58
July	Communications				\$	4,266.54
July	Postage & Courier				\$	1,108.97
July	Professional fees				\$	2,515.31
July	Legal fees				\$	11,589.09
July	Vehicle operating				\$	757.30
July	Travel				\$	10,756.25
July	Office equipment				\$	857.42
July	Utilities				\$	497.34
July	Office supplies		Ī		\$	2,616.64
July	Service charges				\$	1,575.14
July	Interest				\$	36,417.10
July	Employee relation				\$	1,086.02
					-	·
	Period 07/01/2019 to 07/31/2019		į			

Total \$

195,520.60

1660 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

CAPHVI-0719

Invoice Date:

July 31, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
July	LCR deliver from 07/01/2019 to 07/31/2019	BBL	4,633.47	\$67.60	\$ 313,235.63
~					

Total \$

313,235.63

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 102 of 117

## CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION

1660 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

CAPHVI-0819

Invoice Date:

August 31, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
August	LCR deliver from 08/01/2019 to 08/31/2019	BBL	6,797.50	\$65.83	\$ 447,466.88

Total \$

447,466.88

1660 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

CAPHVI-0919

Invoice Date:

September 30, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
September	LCR deliver from 09/01/2019 to 09/30/2019	BBL	5,930.44	\$70.94	\$ 420,691.67

Total \$

420,691.67

Greka Integrated, Inc

1700 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

GITHVI-0819

Invoice Date:

August 31, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Backoffice & Administrative expense August 2019

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
August	Payroll				\$ 83,305.92
August	Payroll taxes				\$ 2,614.46
August	Insurance & benefit plan				\$ (7,637.17)
August	Office rent				\$ 5,042.32
August	Office repairs & cleaning				\$ 2,843.36
August	Communications				\$ 2,722.69
August	Postage & Courier				\$ 565.55
August	Professional fees				\$ 895.83
August	Legal fees				\$ 8,685.33
August	Vehicle operating				\$ 1,241.42
August	Travel				\$ 12,885.54
August	Office equipment				\$ 1,330.14
August	Compliance				\$ 431.79
August	Office supplies				\$ 1,824.27
August	Other expense				\$ 3,492.07
August	Service charge				\$ 1,305.46
August	Employee relation				\$ 544.52
	Period 08/01/2019 to 08/31/2019				
	. 3.134 33.3 1/2313 13 00/01/2013				

Total \$

122,093.51

Greka Integrated, Inc

1700 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

GITHVI-0919

Invoice Date:

September 30, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Backoffice & Administrative expense August 2019

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
September	Payroll				\$ 73,938.86
September	Payroll taxes				\$ 2,325.77
September	Insurance & benefit plan				\$ 10,212.50
September	Safety Supplies				\$ 82.00
September	Office rent				\$ 4,807.80
September	Office repairs & cleaning				\$ 3,687.94
September	Communications				\$ 4,362.14
September	Postage & Courier				\$ 647.16
September	Professional fees				\$ 12,243.06
September	Legal fees				\$ 108,741.82
September	Vehicle operating				\$ 717.82
September	Travel				\$ 16,251.87
September	Employee benefit				\$ 66.11
September	Office supplies				\$ 1,688.74
September	Other expense				·
September	Service charge				\$ 3,049.65
	, -				\$ 1,048.24
September	Utilities				\$ 289.45
	Period 09/01/2019 to 09/30/2019				
			.,		

Total \$

244,160.92

Invoice Number:

GTLHVI-07219

Invoice date:

7/31/2019

## GTL1, LLC

1660 Sinton Rd. Janta Maria Ca. 93458

Invoice to HVI Cat Canyon Inc. July 2019							
ease transfer	Security	1,860	bbls	\$5,580	at \$3.00 per bl		
ocal crude		16,741.00	bbls	\$50,223	at \$3.00 per bl		
CR to HVI		4,633.00	bbls	\$13,899	at \$3.00 per bl		
'ehicle leasing		15,000		\$15,000	see attached		
emurrage ( stand by )		506.90	Hrs	\$40,552			
uto Insurance				\$10,480.24	see attached		
lot loads	loads@70bb		bbls				
Trucks		706	Hrs	\$56,480	\$80 per Hr		

Total due	\$192,214

Invoice Number:

GTLHVI-08219

Invoice date:

8/31/2019

## GTL1, LLC

L660 Sinton Rd. Santa Maria Ca. 93458

Invoice to HVI Cat Canyon Inc. August 2019								
.ocal crude		39,876.00	bbls	\$119,628	at \$3.00 per bl			
.CR to HVI		6,797.50	bbls	\$20,393	at \$3.00 per bl			
/ehicle leasing		15,000		\$15,000	see attached			
Demurrage ( stand by )		403.75	Hrs	\$32,300				
\uto Insurance				\$10,480.24	see attached			
Jot loads	loads@70bb		bbls					
Trucks		596.5	Hrs	\$47,720	\$80 per Hr			

Total due \$245,521

Invoice Number:

GTLHVI-09219

Invoice date:

9/30/2019

GTL1, LLC

1660 Sinton Rd. Santa Maria Ca. 93458

Invoice to HVI Cat Canyon Inc. September 2019								
Local crude		29,318.00	bbls	\$87,955		at \$3.00 per bl		
LCR to HVI		5,930.00	bbls	\$17,791		at \$3.00 per bl		
Vehicle leasing		0		\$0		see attached		
Demurrage ( stand by )		510.50	Hrs	\$40,840				
Auto Insurance				\$0.00		see attached		
Hot loads	loads@70bb		bbls					
Trucks	·	694	Hrs	\$55,520	-	\$80 per Hr		

Total due	\$202,106

1660 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

CAPHVI-0719B

Invoice Date:

July 31, 2019

Bill To:

HVI Cat Canyon

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
JULY	Marketing Fees sales crude Bellridge	BBL	3,470.23	\$ 0.50	\$ 1,735.12
JULY	Marketing Fees sales crude Redu	BBL	145.06	\$ 0.05	\$ 7.25

Total \$

1,742.37

1660 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

CAPHVI-0819B

Invoice Date:

August 31, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
AUGUST	Marketing Fees sales crude Bellridge	BBL	1,474.31	\$ 0.50	\$ 737.16

Total \$

737.16

1660 Sinton Road Santa Maria, Ca 93458 INVOICE

Invoice number

CAPHVI-0919B

Invoice Date:

September 30, 2019

Bill To:

**HVI Cat Canyon** 

Month	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
September September	Marketing Fees sales crude Bellridge Marketing Fees sales crude Redu	BBL	1,600.60	\$ 0.50	\$ 800.00
September	warketing Fees sales clude Redu	BBL	1,161.29	\$ 0.05	\$ 58.06

Total \$

858.06

EXHIBIT "5"

From: James Baring

**Sent:** Friday, November 15, 2019 11:59 AM **To:** Ernesto Olivares <MEO@greka.com>

**Cc:** Michael McConnell <michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com>; Tim Skillman <tim.skillman@cr3partners.com>; Eric Israel

<EPI@dgdk.com>

Subject: RE: Please Review: October HVI crude sale collections and affiliate Invoices

Hi Ernesto,

A quick point of clarification relative to the request below about not offsetting October revenue against affiliate invoices, be advised that offsets are prohibited under the Bankruptcy code.

Thanks,

**James** 

From: James Baring < james.baring@cr3partners.com>

**Sent:** Friday, November 15, 2019 11:21 AM **To:** Ernesto Olivares < <a href="MEO@greka.com">MEO@greka.com</a>>

Cc: Michael McConnell <michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com>; Tim Skillman <tim.skillman@cr3partners.com>; Eric Israel

< EPI@dgdk.com >

Subject: Please Review: October HVI crude sale collections and affiliate Invoices

Importance: High

Hi Ernesto,

Following up on our conversation today, the GIT invoice is significantly higher than the estimate you provided for the operating budget, \$242,987.21 vs \$156,000. The increase is \$86k higher than the estimate, or a 56% increase. The GIT invoice estimate of \$156k is forecast to be paid next week in the operating budget but prior to making that disbursement we will need you to provide all the invoices that support the amounts in each line item of the GIT October invoice by this Monday, 11/15/19.

Also, as we discussed, HVI Cat is requesting a standby Letter of Credit ("LOC") of \$750k for payment of monthly crude sales to CAP. Please confirm if CAP will provide this LOC.

Finally, in the 5-week operating budget attached to the financing motion filed with the court, HVI is forecasting as separate

#### Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 114 of 117

transactions the collection of October crude sales to CAP in week 17 and disbursements to affiliates CAP for October LCR delivers and crude marketing fees, GTL1 for October transportation and vacuum trucks, and GIT for October Backoffice and Administrative services. Please do not offset the week 17 collections due to HVI against any of the affiliate invoices also due to be paid that week.

Thank you,

**James** 

### **James Baring** | **Director**

6171 W. Century Boulevard, Suite 350, Los Angeles, CA 90045 Office: 1 (800) 728-7176 ext. 149 | Direct: (213) 503-4092

Bio | Website | LinkedIn



----Original Message-----

From: Ernesto Olivares < <a href="MEO@greka.com">MEO@greka.com</a>> Sent: Friday, November 15, 2019 8:58 AM

To: James Baring < <u>james.baring@cr3partners.com</u>>
Cc: Tim Skillman < <u>tim.skillman@cr3partners.com</u>>

Subject: FW: October Invoices

James,

Here is the invoices for the month of October/2019

----Original Message-----

From: <a href="mailto:corp@greka.com">corp@greka.com</a> [mailto:corp@greka.com] Sent: Friday, November 15, 2019 9:23 AM

To: Ernesto Olivares

Subject: Scan from Corp SMV

TASKalfa 5500i [00:c0:ee:88:35:da]

#### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450, Los Angeles, CA 90067-6006.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): TRUSTEE'S NOTICE OF OPPOSITION AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR APPROVAL AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS FILED BY GIT, INC., CALIFORNIA ASPHALT PRODUCTION, INC., AND GTL1, LLC [CASE DOC. NO. 946]; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE, AND DECLARATIONS OF MICHAEL A. MCCONNELL, TIM SKILLMAN, AND JAMES BARING IN SUPPORT THEREOF will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) May 5, 2020 I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below: ■ Service information continued on attached page. 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: On (date) , I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail. first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. ☐ Service information continued on attached page. 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date) , I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. ☐ Service information continued on attached page. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Patricia Morris /s/ Patricia Morris May 5, 2020 Printed Name Signature Date

#### ADDITIONAL SERVICE INFORMATION (if needed):

#### 1. SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF")

- Anthony A Austin anthony.austin@doj.ca.gov
- William C Beall will@beallandburkhardt.com, carissa@beallandburkhardt.com
- Bradley D Blakeley
   blakeley@blakeleylawgroup.com, bradleydblakeley@gmail.com
- Alicia Clough aclough@loeb.com, mnielson@loeb.com,ladocket@loeb.com
- Marc S Cohen mscohen@loeb.com, klyles@loeb.com
- Alan D Condren , berickson@seedmackall.com
- Alec S DiMario alec.dimario@mhllp.com, debra.blondheim@mhllp.com;Syreeta.shoals@mhllp.com
- Karl J Fingerhood karl.fingerhood@usdoj.gov, efile\_ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
- H Alexander Fisch Alex.Fisch@doj.ca.gov
- Don Fisher dfisher@ptwww.com, tblack@ptwww.com
- Brian D Fittipaldi brian.fittipaldi@usdoj.gov
- Ellen A Friedman efriedman@friedmanspring.com, jquiambao@friedmanspring.com
- Gisele M Goetz gmgoetz@hbsb.com, ggoetz@collegesoflaw.edu;cecilia@hbsb.com
- Karen L Grant kgrant@silcom.com
- Ira S Greene Ira.Greene@lockelord.com
- Matthew C. Heyn Matthew.Heyn@doj.ca.gov, mcheyn@outlook.com
- Brian L Holman b.holman@mpglaw.com
- Brian L Holman b.holman@musickpeeler.com
- Eric P Israel eisrael@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com;eisrael@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Razmig Izakelian razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com
- Alan H Katz akatz@lockelord.com
- John C Keith john.keith@doj.ca.gov
- Jeannie Kim jekim@sheppardmullin.com, lsemeraro@sheppardmullin.com
- Mitchell J Langberg mlangberg@bhfs.com, dcrudup@bhfs.com
- Maxim B Litvak mlitvak@pszjlaw.com
- Vincent T Martinez llimone@twitchellandrice.com, smccomish@twitchellandrice.com
- Michael Authur McConnell (TR) Michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com
- Brian M Metcalf bmetcalf@omm.com, brian-metcalf-9774@ecf.pacerpro.com
- Jerry Namba nambaepiq@earthlink.net, G23453@notify.cincompass.com;annie\_cunningham@ymail.com
- David L Osias dosias@allenmatkins.com,
  - bcrfilings@allenmatkins.com,kdemorest@allenmatkins.com,csandoval@allenmatkins.com
- Darren L Patrick dpatrick@omm.com, darren-patrick-
  - 1373@ecf.pacerpro.com;sindelicato@omm.com;ejones@omm.com
- Jeffrey N Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
- Benjamin P Pugh bpugh@ecg.law, mhamburger@ecg.law;calendar@ecg.law
- Edward S Renwick erenwick@hanmor.com, iaguilar@hanmor.com
- J. Alexandra Rhim arhim@hrhlaw.com
- Todd C. Ringstad becky@ringstadlaw.com, arlene@ringstadlaw.com
- Mitchell E Rishe mitchell.rishe@doj.ca.gov
- George E Schulman GSchulman@DanningGill.Com, danninggill@gmail.com;gschulman@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Zev Shechtman @ Danning Gill.com, danning gill@gmail.com;zshechtman@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Sonia Singh ssingh@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com,ssingh@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Daniel A Solitro dsolitro@lockelord.com, ataylor2@lockelord.com
- Ross Spence ross@snowspencelaw.com, janissherrill@snowspencelaw.com;donnasutton@snowspencelaw.com;brittanyDecoteau@snowspencelaw.com
- Christopher D Sullivan csullivan@diamondmccarthy.com, mdomer@diamondmccarthy.com;kmartinez@diamondmccarthy.com;quentin.roberts@diamondmccarthy.com;eri ka.shannon@diamondmccarthy.com;aiemee.low@diamondmccarthy.com

## Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 976 Filed 05/05/20 Entered 05/05/20 17:20:26 Desc Main Document Page 117 of 117

- Jennifer Taylor jtaylor@omm.com
- John N Tedford jtedford@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com;jtedford@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Salina R Thomas bankruptcy@co.kern.ca.us
- Meagan S Tom meagan.tom@lockelord.com, autodocket@lockelord.com;taylor.warren@lockelord.com;autodocketdev@lockelord.com
- Patricia B Tomasco pattytomasco@quinnemanuel.com, barbarahowell@quinnemanuel.com;cristinagreen@quinnemanuel.com
- Fred Whitaker lshertzer@cwlawyers.com, spattas@cwlawyers.com
- William E. Winfield wwinfield@calattys.com, scuevas@calattys.com
- Richard Lee Wynne richard.wynne@hoganlovells.com, tracy.southwell@hoganlovells.com;cindy.mitchell@hoganlovells.com
- Emily Young pacerteam@gardencitygroup.com, rjacobs@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ECFInbox@epiqsystems.com
- Aaron E de Leest adeleest@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com;adeleest@ecf.inforuptcy.com